

Agenda

Discover Ashfield Board Meeting

Date: Friday, 14th July, 2023

Time: 9.00 am - 11am

Venue: Hybrid - Microsoft Teams & Meeting Room 1, Council, Offices,

Urban Road.

For any further information please contact:

Hollie Maxwell-Smith

hollie.maxwell-smith@ashfield.gov.uk

07826 921211

DISCOVER ASHFIELD BOARD MEETING

<u>Attendees</u>

ATTENDEES							
Name	Name Position on Board Position/Organisation						
Martin Rigley MBE	Chair / Theme Lead – Succeed in Ashfield	Managing Director, Lindhurst Engineering					
Louise Knott	Vice Chair	Vice Chair Vice Principal, West Nottinghamshire College – joined the meeting at 9.50am					
Darron Ellis	Theme Lead – More to Discover	Theme Lead - More to Historian, Sutton Living Memory Group					
Liz Barrett OBE	Theme Lead - Love Where You Live	Further Education (ATTFE)					
Pete Edwards	Theme Lead – Be Happy, Be Healthy	Partnership					
Callum Parr MYP	Board Member	UK Youth Parliament Steering Group Representative for the East Midlands					
Claire Ward	Board Member	Chair of Sherwood Forest Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust					
Clare Hitchings	Substitute for Tim Hepke	Communications Lead, ITP Aero					
Christopher Baron	Substitute for Lee Anderson, MP	Office Manager, Ashfield & Eastwood MP's Office					
Cllr Helen-Ann Smith	Board Member	Deputy Council Leader, Ashfield District Council					
Cllr Julie Gregory	Board Member	Scrutiny Representative, Ashfield District Council					
Cllr Matthew Relf	Board Member	Executive Lead Member for Regeneration and Corporate Transformation, Ashfield District Council					
Cllr Keith Girling	Notts County Council Representative	Economical Development and Asset Management, Notts County Council					
David Ainsworth	Board Member	Director of Sherwood Forest Hospitals Trust					
David Williams	Substitute for Jean Sharpe	Partnerships Manager North Nottinghamshire DWP					
Edward Johnstone	Board Member	Assistant Principal (Development), Portland College					
Ella McManus	Board Member	Mansfield & Ashfield 2020					
Fiona Anderson	Board Member	Associative Director, Civic Engagement, Nottingham Trent University (NTU)					
Gary Jordan MBE	Board Member	Executive Coach / GMJ Solutions					
lan Bond	Substitute for Peter Graw	Director of Learning, Inspire: Culture, Learning and Libraries					
Jean Sharpe	Board Member	Lincolnshire, Nottinghamshire & Rutland Progression Champion for In-Work Progression					
Julia Terry	Board Member	Development Worker, Transforming Notts Together					
Kathryn Stacey	Board Member	Chief Executive, Citizens Advice Ashfield					
Lorraine Palmer	Board Member						
Lee Anderson, MP	Board Member	MP for Ashfield and Eastwood					
Mark Spencer, MP	Board Member	MP for Sherwood					
Mark Yates	Substitute	PCN Development Managers, Nottingham and					

		Nottinghamshire ICB			
Melanie Phythian	Observer	Towns Fund Policy Advisor, Cities & Local Growth Unit			
Peter Gaw	Board Member	Chief Executive Officer, Inspire: Culture, Learning and Libraries			
Paula Longden	Board Member	Deputy Locality Director, Nottingham and Nottinghamshire ICB			
Simon Martin	Board Member	Vice Principal, Academy Transformation Trust Further Education (ATTFE)			
Teresa Jackson	Board Member	Chief Officer, Ashfield Voluntary Action			
Tim Hepke	Board Member	UK Head of Maintenance and Group Property, ITP Aero			
Theresa Hodgkinson	Board Member	Chief Executive, Ashfield District Council			
Will Morlidge	Board Member	Chief Executive, D2N2 LEP			
Andrea Stone	Supporting Officer	Health and Wellbeing Manager, Ashfield District Council			
Alastair Blunkett	Supporting Officer	Service Manager for Neighbourhoods and Environment			
Bev Bull	Supporting Officer	Chief Accountant, Ashfield District Council			
Chris Stephenson	Supporting Officer	Communications Manager, Ashfield District Council			
Christine Sarris	Supporting Officer	Assistant Director – Planning and Regulatory Services			
Hollie Maxwell-Smith	Supporting Officer	Project Officer Discover Ashfield, Ashfield District Council			
Jas Hundal	Supporting Officer	Interim Executive Director – Place, Ashfield District Council			
Joelle Davies	Supporting Officer	Group Manager for Growth, Infrastructure and Development			
Melanie Wheelwright	Supporting Officer	Forward Planning & Economic Growth Team Manager			
Nathan McNicholas	Supporting Officer	Senior Economic Development Officer			
Paul Crawford	Supporting Officer	Investment Manager – Regeneration, Ashfield District Council			
Sarah Daniel	Supporting Officer	Assistant Director - Regeneration, Ashfield District Council			
Trevor Middleton	Supporting Officer	Town Centres and Markets Manager, Ashfield District Council			

		AGENDA	Page				
1.	Welce	ome / Introductions / Apologies - Martin Rigley					
2.	Refle						
	а	Meeting Minutes	5 - 18				
	b	Action Log					
		There were no actions from the board meeting.					
3.	New I	Declarations of Interest - ALL					
4.	Fund	ing Update - Sarah Daniel & Paul Crawford	19 - 36				
	а	Risk Assessment Management Map Programme Level	37 - 42				
	b	UKSPF Risk Assessment Map	43 - 44				
5.	Them	e Lead Reports - Theme Leads					
	а	Succeed in Ashfield - Martin Rigley					
	b	Love Where You Live - Liz Barrett					
	С	More to Discover - Darron Ellis					
	d	Be Healthy, Be Happy - Pete Edwards					
6.	Disco	over Ashfield Project Officer Update - Hollie Maxwell-Smith					
7.	Board	d Member Updates - ALL					
8.	Any Other Business - ALL						
9.	Date of Next Meeting – Wednesday 23 rd August						



DISCOVER ASHFIELD BOARD MEETING

Wednesday 31st May 2023 9am – 11am

Hybrid – Meeting Room 1, Council Offices, Urban Road & Microsoft Teams

	_
AGENDA	Page

- 1. Welcome / Introductions / Apologies Martin Rigley
- 2. Reflections of Previous Meeting Martin Rigley
 - a Meeting Minutes
 - b Action Log
 Hollie to send out information on the Kirkby Library
 Innovation Centre opening day on 15th July to the board Just
 waiting on the invite from Inspire to send to the board once
 completed.
- 3. New Declarations of Interest ALL
- 5. Monitoring and Evaluation Report Paul Crawford
 - a Funding Update Sarah Daniel
- 8. Board Member Updates ALL
- 9. Any Other Business ALL
- 10. Date of Next Meeting Friday 14th July 2023

	A	TTENDEES				
Name	Position on Board Position/Organisation					
Martin Rigley MBE	Chair / Theme Lead – Succeed in Ashfield	Managing Director, Lindhurst Engineering	V			
Louise Knott	Vice Chair	Vice Principal, West Nottinghamshire College – joined the meeting at 9.50am				
Darron Ellis	Theme Lead – More to Discover	Historian, Sutton Living Memory Group	V			
Liz Barrett OBE	Theme Lead – Love Principal, Academy Transformation Trust Where You Live Further Education (ATTFE)					
Pete Edwards	Theme Lead – Be Happy, Be Healthy	Chair, Ashfield Health and Wellbeing Partnership				
Callum Parr MYP	Board Member	UK Youth Parliament Steering Group Representative for the East Midlands				
Claire Ward	Board Member	Chair of Sherwood Forest Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust				
Christopher Baron	Substitute for Lee Anderson, MP	Office Manager, Ashfield & Eastwood MP's Office				
Cllr Helen-Ann Smith	Board Member	Deputy Council Leader, Ashfield District Council				
Clir Matthew Relf	Board Member	Executive Lead Member for Regeneration and Corporate Transformation, Ashfield District Council				
Cllr Keith Girling	Notts County Council Representative	Economic Development and Asset Management, Notts County Council				
David Ainsworth	Board Member	Director of Sherwood Forest Hospitals Trust	√			
David Williams	Substitute for Jean Sharpe	Partnerships Manager North Nottinghamshire DWP	V			
Edward Johnstone	Board Member	Assistant Principal (Development), Portland College	V			
Ella McManus	Board Member	Mansfield & Ashfield 2020				
Fiona Anderson	Board Member	Associative Director, Civic Engagement, Nottingham Trent University (NTU)	V			
Gary Jordan MBE	Board Member	Executive Coach / GMJ Solutions				
lan Bond	Substitute for Peter Gaw	Director of Learning, Inspire: Culture, Learning and Libraries	V			
Jean Sharpe	Board Member	DWP - Lincolnshire, Nottinghamshire & Rutland Progression Champion for In-Work Progression				
Julia Terry	Board Member	Development Worker, Transforming Notts Together				
Kathryn Stacey	Board Member	Chief Executive, Citizens Advice Ashfield	$\sqrt{}$			
Lorraine Palmer	Board Member	Programme Director, Mid Notts Place Based Partnership				
Lee Anderson, MP	Board Member	MP for Ashfield and Eastwood				
Mark Spencer, MP	Board Member	MP for Sherwood				
Mark Yates	Substitute	PCN Development Managers, Nottingham and Nottinghamshire ICB				
Melanie Phythian	Observer	Towns Fund Policy Advisor, Cities & Local Growth Unit	V			
Peter Gaw	Board Member	Chief Executive Officer, Inspire: Culture, Learning and Libraries				
Paula Longden	Board Member	Deputy Locality Director, Nottingham and Nottinghamshire ICB	V			
Simon Martin	Board Member	Vice Principal, Academy Transformation Trust Further Education (ATTFE)	V			

Teresa Jackson	Board Member	Chief Officer, Ashfield Voluntary Action	
Tim Hepke	Board Member	ITP Aero	
Theresa Hodgkinson	Board Member	Chief Executive, Ashfield District Council	
Will Morlidge	Board Member	Chief Executive, D2N2 LEP	V
Andrea Stone	Supporting Officer	Health and Wellbeing Manager, Ashfield District Council	
Alastair Blunkett	Supporting Officer	Assistant Director - Neighbourhoods	
Chris Stephenson	Supporting Officer	Communications Manager, Ashfield District Council	
Christine Sarris	Supporting Officer	Assistant Director – Planning	
Hollie Maxwell-Smith	Supporting Officer	Project Officer Discover Ashfield, Ashfield District Council	V
Jas Hundal	Supporting Officer	Interim Executive Director – Place, Ashfield District Council	V
Joelle Davies	Supporting Officer	Group Manager for Growth, Infrastructure and Development, Nottinghamshire County Council	V
Melanie Wheelwright	Supporting Officer	Forward Planning & Economic Growth Team Manager	V
Nathan McNicholas	Supporting Officer	Senior Economic Development Officer	
Paul Crawford	Supporting Officer	Investment Manager, Ashfield District Council	V
Sarah Daniel	Supporting Officer	Assistant Director - Regeneration, Ashfield District Council	V
Trevor Middleton	Supporting Officer	Town Centres and Markets Manager, Ashfield District Council	

Agenda	
Item	
1	Welcome / Introductions / Apologies
	Welcome and introduction to Jas Hundal, the Interim Executive Director of
	Place at Ashfield District Council.
	Introductions were made by all attendees at the meeting.
	Apologies noted from Callum Parr, Nathan McNicholas, Julia Terry, Chris
	Baron, Lee Anderson, Louise Knott, Alastair Blunkett, Christine Sarris, Cllr
	Relf, Pete Edwards, Tim Hepke, Theresa Hodgkinson, Trevor Middleton,
•	Gary Jordan, Andrea Stone.
2	Review of Previous Meeting – Martin Rigley
а	Board Meeting Minutes All minutes agreed.
b	Action Log (these include actions from the below minutes)
	There are no actions from the board meeting.
3	New Declarations of Interest – ALL
	No new declarations of interest were noted.
4	Towns Fund/ Future High Streets Fund - Monitoring and Evaluation Report – Paul Crawford
	Paul Crawford explained that the report is due to submission to DLUCH, and
	the decision today is for the board to support Martin and the Section 151
	officer to sign off the report for submission.
	The report has been summarised for the board to enable them to understand
	all the information. The key points reported on are financial outputs achieved
	through the programme through the Future High Streets Fund and Towns
	Fund. The report also includes outputs (pages 19 – 23 of the agenda pack) agreed with DLUCH and risk.
	Paul ran through the forecasted spend, spend to date and project budget for
	Fox Street, Low Street, High Pavement and the Theatre projects.
	Paul explained that Fox Street was due to be finished by now although there because helder as a very the last 10 months for tandering the appearance with
	have been challenges over the last 10 months for tendering the scheme with limited interest.
	The next step is to look at framework contract arrangements to deliver the
	work. Issues such as this cause problems trying to deliver the project
	however the project is still on track to be delivered under the Future High
	Streets Fund.
	Low Street schemes are on target, 14 Low Street is complete.
	High Pavement expenditure was primarily on the purchase of the asset
	initially and then investment into the design and delivery.
	Contractors have started on site and completion is forecasted for September.
	The Academy Theatre project has low expenditure now as there is a need to
	identify how to deliver this scheme that covers safeguarding as it's a school
	environment and open to the public.
	There has been development design to RIBA stage 2 which has flagged abellopment around budget due to inflation, as the project is being reasoned.
	challenges around budget due to inflation, so the project is being rescoped.
	Martin wanted to confirm that the Forecast spend on the table was the spend remaining and Baul confirmed this.
	remaining, and Paul confirmed this.

Jas Hundral asked what the profile of overall spend is for the projects for the Future High Streets Fund. Paul explained the funding was just over £6 million from central government plus match funding. The total was just over £8m with match funding from Ashfield District Council and partners. Jas commented that it would be helpful to show the profile of the spend so the board can clearly see if the projects are on target or behind. Paul ran through the agreed projects outputs for Low Street, the unit of measurement and figures to date. Martin asked if the 'future' column of the table was outstanding outputs, and the total is the agreed targets. Paul confirmed they are. • Fiona Anderson asked about the projects where there are no project outputs as of yet and if there are any risks attached with these or whether there are any projects which are behind in schedule for this. Paul explained that across Future High Streets and Towns Fund a lot of the projects are related to capital improvements, such as the renovation of asset and generally the outputs achieved will show towards the end of the scheme. The risk profile reflects whether projects are on target and the key risks associated with all the projects. Paul asked if there were any recommendations as to how the outputs were put to the board for a clear understanding. Martin commented that it should be clear what the target is and if this is achievable. Paula Longden explained that on reading the report it would have helped if there was an extra column to show where the project would be expected to be at certain points and if there are zero outputs expected then have this noted and to also have a target on there. Paula also commented on the report that the risks for the projects were further down, and she found she was moving around the paper to go back for more information on each project. She said it may be easier to have all project information together for each. Paul understood that getting information to the board that is useful and the process is understood is the main objective and any advice from the board is appreciated. Paula said it may be useful to RAG rate all aspects so any that are of risk are flagged and easy to see. Jas noted the importance of having all the information in a summary sheet so the board can see an overall picture of any financial targets, project-based targets, outputs, budgets and milestones for each individual projects, so it is clear to have an overview of the programme. Paul agreed and confirmed the report will be refined for the next reporting period as there will be more to report on as the Towns Fund projects progress. David Ainsworth asked if there were any risks on funding being withdrawn where projects are off track of delivery, as this is something the NHS faces, and if there are reputational risks as a board and place.

Paul asked if Melanie Phythian could comment on central government's position on this. Melanie stated that at this stage there is no policy around this. Ashfield District Council are currently submitting their performance returns and it will be looked at if spend is meeting the profile. Payment may be withheld until the next stage of the project. It would be expected that the council would explain the rationale for the delay and any mitigations that are being undertaken to address this. It was also noted that the importance is not on the project being completed but that the funding is spent. David thanked Melanie for the information and commented that there is still confusion on what is off track, and where do we need to worry or what is off track, and where do we not need to worry. His final point was that he is keen not to have money lost as the money is for Ashfield residents and increasing their activity levels and having pride of their district. Jas suggested that he works with Paul to refine the report with the comments from the board meeting and get this back to the board before the next meeting. Sarah Daniel explained that the deadline for this report is 9th June and there may not be enough time to amend the report, send out to board members and ensure it is signed off in time, unless there is a quick turnaround on this. Martin agreed that there would need to be a deadline for the board to agree the report for submission if there were amendments made and sent out. Paul continued with the Future High Streets risks at a high level. The two highlighted green, Fox Street and High Pavement, have had their risks mainly eliminated and are now down to contractual risks with the delivery phase on site. Fox Street risk is around the procurement of contractors being delayed because of limited and noncompliant. Cornerstone is amber as there is a lot of work to do in the next phase of this scheme to deliver by the deadline agreed with DLUCH. There is mitigation in place to deal with these issues and are awaiting confirmation from DLUCH regarding funding timeframes. Martin asked is there were any factors as to why there are issues to tender Fox Street. Paul explained that contracts which sit between £500,000 – £1,500,000 do not get much interest in the construction market and they have struggled with this in the local market. Martin asked if the scheme could be split into separate lots. Paul confirmed they had looked at this and on the second attempt at open tender there is one tender which is being checked for compliancy. He also explained that the Fox Street tender included Portland Square at the same time to gain efficiencies with the option to deliver one and the other separately however the framework route may give more options. Simon Martin commented that the Cornerstone project may have a way forward from the last meeting he was part of in regard to the design and cost aspect and there is a potential way forward to mitigate the difficulties of having a public space within a school environment.

Jas agreed with Paul that lower tenders have more interest in his experience. He suggested that going forward it could be advisable to do an evaluation of the project to see what the most appropriate way to get suitable contractors, tendering and using partnership arrangements in place. Martin added that as a board they are keen to use local employment however understand that this may not be an option sometimes. The ADMC project business case is still being finalised for submission to DLUCH so the low expenditure on this scheme relates to early design work and business case development. This scheme is within the Towns Fund timescale so there is until 2026 for this to be delivered. Martin asked how far along the business case for ADMC was. It was explained that the project team is finalising the economic case for resubmission to DLUCH on 12th June. Sarah Daniel confirmed that there had been an email from the DLUCH analyst to say the approach was correct and that the queries were around adding in extra benefits for health and wellbeing, which increases the BCR. Jas commented that site selection and land assembly is a large factor in these early stages for ADMC. The key partner in these discussions is Nottinghamshire County Council to agree location and ensure the delivery of this flagship project to ensure a high level of inward investment. Paula agreed this is a big project and a lot of investment is going into this and feels there could be more reporting on this. She also commented that she was unaware what ADMC stood for (Automated Distribution and Manufacturing Centre) and felt it would be useful to explain acronyms within the report for those unaware. Martin followed on from this that he had attended the Business Support event at the Summit Centre which was around automation and there is already interest on the ADMC. Sarah explained the project group for ADMC has various partners from education to business and they are all frustrated on not being able to secure the ideal site. It is critical within the next few weeks to get this decision made. In the meantime, there is a focus on promoting ADMC by speaking to and offering practical support to local businesses. The project manager is going to discuss using West Notts College's mobile unit, which has a robot in it, to utilise this and take to businesses to get the message across around automation. Jas commented on the title of the project and that he feels it doesn't explain fully the depth of it. He wondered if the title would grab the attention of businesses outside the local area and get them to relocate into Ashfield and put forward that a name such as 'Innovation Centre', like the one in Nottingham City, would be more beneficial as he feels it captures the project better and asked if any professional advice could be sought. Martin informed Jas that he sits on the steering group for ADMC, and a branding exercise was done to agree this name. Initially the discussion was to build a catapult centre, but they understood many businesses do not know what a catapult centre is. Martin will take this back to the steering group to discuss. Will Morlidge responded to Jas that he likes the name ADMC but also understands the point that the acronym could be explained more in full more

- often. As this is the largest Towns Fund project in the region there should be a lot of promotion and shouting about regionally.
- Will also mentioned there is an emerging push from the government for a coordinated regional foreign directive investment strategy and Joelle Davies is the thinking for the region of how local authority can get involved with this and the ADMC is a project which fits in perfectly.
- Jo came in to say she is happy to discuss ADMC in wider discussions.
- Martin made a point that the steering group and everyone involved with the ADMC project are keen to have the centre recognised on a national scale as a centre of excellence for distribution and is happy to take Will's offer of having his communications team promote this.
- Paul continued with the Towns Fun expenditure report.
- The Cornerstone project had been discussed, and the expenditure will be spent at the end of project, and the contribution from Towns Fund has been profiled into the next financial year to give more flexibility on delivery.
- West Kirkby Gateway land assembly purchase has been completed, and this
 is now in the design and development phase. There is further expenditure for
 this project, and it is on target at this point in time.
- Visitor Digital is midpoint of delivery for a launch date in August. There is work ongoing to build the digital asset and establish the content for this, which Darron Ellis is assisting with. The team are paid retrospectively and there are no concerns with this project achieving its target.
- Sutton Lawn Sports Hub has a slight delay in the programme as there are inflation challenges identified and there is work being done to get this within budget. There is match funding from the Football Foundation and the application for the funding is not until April 2024
- Science Discovery Centre is now at RIBA stage 4 and the cost certainty will be established within the next few weeks as a principal framework contractor has been employed. There is also ongoing work by the centre on fundraising for the remaining £650,000 funding gap. The next stage is for a summary to be provided of how they will mitigate any risks of funding at the end of the project if they are unable to generate the final funding gap.
- Portland Square was profiled later in the year, though it is being managed together with Fox street, so it is not as far behind. If a framework contractor is hired, then this will bring the project back in line for delivery. There are no concerns for expenditure however the budget may be stretched due to inflation risks, however this won't be known until the project is in RIBA stage
- North Kirkby Gateway is the early stages and there has been work with the Integrated Care Board. The expenditure is low due to being very early days.
- Library Innovation project is being delivered by Inspire Learning as they draw down funds for this. They are close to completing the first part of this project with the Kirkby Library relaunch in July. Work will then start on the Sutton site, which has higher complexities due to the intricacies of working on the building.
- Kingsway Sports Hub also has budget challenges due to inflation which are being worked through and this project will also submit for Football Foundation funding. The project is on schedule within the timetable and there are no concerns.

- Kings Mill Reservoir now has cost certainty as the contract was awarded under framework contract and works will start in June with the demolition of the previous site and the main contract build to start in August. Previously the plan was to work alongside Nottinghamshire County Council to be in line with their plans to open their new outdoor adventure provision however this has been delayed so there is more flexibility for when the project can be finalised. There is also work with an organisation to take on the lease for the building.
- High Street Property Fund has high costs for technical design input could increase budget constraints. The market continues to be monitored for purchase options.
- Green Ashfield has a design team appointed; they are working through the
 design phase for the different priority assets. This is profiled over the next few
 years to deliver as there are many assets. Most of the spend will start to be
 used once the capital works begin. There is a lot of design development work
 to focus on over the coming months. There is one asset which has been
 delivered already.
- Enterprising Ashfield is on track for spend and the project is picking up pace.
 Output is a fraction behind target, but the scheme does continue to grow with promotion and a change in how NTU are delivering the programme.
- Cycling and Walking is programmed over the next few years and will be delivered through multiple small projects to deliver the output. Reporting on this over the next 12 months will identify any risks, however there are currently none.
- The Construction centres project is progressing and there has been success in that the VAT is being managed through the Council, so the unrecoverable VAT is now recoverable which gives more flexibility. This means the design team and development team will be hired by the Council on behalf of Vision West Notts. The small spend now is expected as this will happen more so in the coming two years.
- Civils project expenditure is low because it is in the development period with Vision West Notts. There are some challenges as the aim is for this project to be live in the next academic year but there are complexities on land ownership and lease arrangements. There may be some delay in being open for September and the worst-case scenario would be this be delayed until January 2024.
- Paul then went onto the outputs achieved for the Towns Fund programme (pages 19 23 of the agenda pack).
- He then went through the risks for Towns Fund (pages 23-25 of the agenda pack) with land assembly, construction market availability and inflation costs being the major factor for some.
- Will Morlidge questioned if the RAG rating was high enough for the North Kirkby Gateway project, as there is land assembly and with the issue of contaminated land, is there enough time to deliver this within the funding timeframe.
- Paul explained we are working with a developer who has got heads of terms signed for the first part of the land, which is the area that's associated with the contaminated land. The Council have done work on land contamination at this site previously because of an earlier development so there is knowledge on

how to deal with this, the risk is more on the remediation strategy and the cost of this. As the developer is leading on the project, they have more ability to negotiate within the market. Will thanked Paul and also commented it would be useful to have an arrow on the RAG rating to explain which way the risk had moved. Melanie Wheelwright added that the Business Support team have quarterly meetings with NTU around the Enterprising Ashfield project and regularly offer support and promote this. Fiona Anderson offered her assistance for any queries on Enterprising Ashfield. Martin agreed with Will regarding having arrows on the RAG rating to understand its movement. Paul explained the decision for the board is to note and approve the summary of the Monitoring and Evaluation submission. Martin asked the board if there was a need for any amendments of the report and to bring this back to the board or if it is agreed that comments can be actioned on the next report. Sarah confirmed the date for submission was Friday 9th June and that she would like the board to be comfortable with the report for submission. Martin confirmed he is happy to sign this report off, with the agreement that future reports are presented differently and more clearly for the board. Paula agreed with Martin as there had been a full and informed presentation with no concerns but that an improved report would be helpful. Fiona Anderson expressed there had been a full and informed presentation with no concerns but that an improved report would be helpful. Fiona Anderson expressed the submission and Darron Ellis seconded this. Jas also agreed the information was helpful and that he will work with Paul to represent the information for a future board meeting and get this over to board members for comments. Fiona Anderson proposed the submission and Darron Ellis seconded this. Paul then ran through the Project Boundary Adjustment proposal (pages 25-26 of the agenda pack). The proposal is to extend the boundary for the TF11 North Kirkby			
within the market. Will thanked Paul and also commented it would be useful to have an arrow on the RAG rating to explain which way the risk had moved. Melanie Wheelwright added that the Business Support team have quarterly meetings with NTU around the Enterprising Ashfield project and regularly offer support and promote this. Fiona Anderson offered her assistance for any queries on Enterprising Ashfield. Martin agreed with Will regarding having arrows on the RAG rating to understand its movement. Paul explained the decision for the board is to note and approve the summary of the Monitoring and Evaluation submission. Martin asked the board if there was a need for any amendments of the report and to bring this back to the board or if it is agreed that comments can be actioned on the next report. Sarah confirmed the date for submission was Friday 9th June and that she would like the board to be comfortable with the report for submission. Martin confirmed he is happy to sign this report off, with the agreement that future reports are presented differently and more clearly for the board. Paula agreed with Martin as there had been a full and informed presentation with no concerns but that an improved report would be helpful. Fiona Anderson expressed there had been a good discussion and happy to go forward with this. Jas also agreed the information was helpful and that he will work with Paul to represent the information for a future board meeting and get this over to board members for comments. Fiona Anderson proposed the submission and Darron Ellis seconded this. Paul then ran through the Project Boundary Adjustment proposal (pages 25-26 of the agenda pack). The proposal is to extend the boundary for the TF11 North Kirkby Gateway project to include elements of the Portland Street Sustainable Housing Scheme. Jas agreed that the decision to move the boundary makes sense. Martin also mirrored this. Melanie Phythian commented that she was unsure if this needed to be submitted for project submission as th			d the cost
the RAG rating to explain which way the risk had moved. Melanie Wheelwright added that the Business Support team have quarterly meetings with NTU around the Enterprising Ashfield project and regularly offer support and promote this. Fiona Anderson offered her assistance for any queries on Enterprising Ashfield. Martin agreed with Will regarding having arrows on the RAG rating to understand its movement. Paul explained the decision for the board is to note and approve the summary of the Monitoring and Evaluation submission. Martin asked the board if there was a need for any amendments of the report and to bring this back to the board or if it is agreed that comments can be actioned on the next report. Sarah confirmed the date for submission was Friday 9th June and that she would like the board to be comfortable with the report for submission. Martin confirmed he is happy to sign this report off, with the agreement that future reports are presented differently and more clearly for the board. Paula agreed with Martin as there had been a full and informed presentation with no concerns but that an improved report would be helpful. Fiona Anderson expressed there had been a good discussion and happy to go forward with this. Jas also agreed the information was helpful and that he will work with Paul to represent the information for a future board meeting and get this over to board members for comments. Fiona Anderson proposed the submission and Darron Ellis seconded this. Paul then ran through the Project Boundary Adjustment proposal (pages 25-26 of the agenda pack). The proposal is to extend the boundary for the TF11 North Kirkby Gateway project to include elements of the Portland Street Sustainable Housing Scheme. Jas agreed that the decision to move the boundary makes sense. Martin also mirrored this. Melanie Phythian commented that she was unsure if this needed to be submitted for project submission as the Town Deal boundary is not changing, it is just the development site being extended and she wil		within the market.	
meetings with NTÜ around the Enterprising Ashfield project and regularly offer support and promote this. Fiona Anderson offered her assistance for any queries on Enterprising Ashfield. Martin agreed with Will regarding having arrows on the RAG rating to understand its movement. Paul explained the decision for the board is to note and approve the summary of the Monitoring and Evaluation submission. Martin asked the board if there was a need for any amendments of the report and to bring this back to the board or if it is agreed that comments can be actioned on the next report. Sarah confirmed the date for submission was Friday 9th June and that she would like the board to be comfortable with the report for submission. Martin confirmed he is happy to sign this report off, with the agreement that future reports are presented differently and more clearly for the board. Paula agreed with Martin as there had been a full and informed presentation with no concerns but that an improved report would be helpful. Fiona Anderson expressed there had been a good discussion and happy to go forward with this. Jas also agreed the information was helpful and that he will work with Paul to represent the information for a future board meeting and get this over to board members for comments. Fiona Anderson proposed the submission and Darron Ellis seconded this. Paul then ran through the Project Boundary Adjustment proposal (pages 25-26 of the agenda pack). The proposal is to extend the boundary for the TF11 North Kirkby Gateway project to include elements of the Portland Street Sustainable Housing Scheme. Jas agreed that the decision to move the boundary makes sense. Martin also mirrored this. Melanie Phythian commented that she was unsure if this needed to be submitted for project submission as the Town Deal boundary is not changing, it is just the development side being extended and she will check with colleagues if this is something that can be agreed locally. The board is asked to agree the realignment of the proj			arrow on
Ashfield. Martin agreed with Will regarding having arrows on the RAG rating to understand its movement. Paul explained the decision for the board is to note and approve the summary of the Monitoring and Evaluation submission. Martin asked the board if there was a need for any amendments of the report and to bring this back to the board or if it is agreed that comments can be actioned on the next report. Sarah confirmed the date for submission was Friday 9th June and that she would like the board to be comfortable with the report for submission. Martin confirmed he is happy to sign this report off, with the agreement that future reports are presented differently and more clearly for the board. Paula agreed with Martin as there had been a full and informed presentation with no concerns but that an improved report would be helpful. Fiona Anderson expressed there had been a good discussion and happy to go forward with this. Jas also agreed the information was helpful and that he will work with Paul to represent the information for a future board meeting and get this over to board members for comments. Fiona Anderson proposed the submission and Darron Ellis seconded this. Paul then ran through the Project Boundary Adjustment proposal (pages 25-26 of the agenda pack). The proposal is to extend the boundary for the TF11 North Kirkby Gateway project to include elements of the Portland Street Sustainable Housing Scheme. Jas agreed that the decision to move the boundary makes sense. Martin also mirrored this. Melanie Phythian commented that she was unsure if this needed to be submitted for project submission as the Town Deal boundary is not changing, it is just the development site being extended and she will check with colleagues if this is something that can be agreed locally. The board is asked to agree the realignment of the project boundary for TF11 North Kirkby Gateway Project. The realignment may be subject to DLUHC approval. Will Morlidge proposed and Fiona Anderson seconded this.		meetings with NTU around the Enterprising Ashfield project and regu	•
understand its movement. Paul explained the decision for the board is to note and approve the summary of the Monitoring and Evaluation submission. Martin asked the board if there was a need for any amendments of the report and to bring this back to the board or if it is agreed that comments can be actioned on the next report. Sarah confirmed the date for submission was Friday 9th June and that she would like the board to be comfortable with the report for submission. Martin confirmed he is happy to sign this report off, with the agreement that future reports are presented differently and more clearly for the board. Paula agreed with Martin as there had been a full and informed presentation with no concerns but that an improved report would be helpful. Fiona Anderson expressed there had been a good discussion and happy to go forward with this. Jas also agreed the information was helpful and that he will work with Paul to represent the information for a future board meeting and get this over to board members for comments. Fiona Anderson proposed the submission and Darron Ellis seconded this. Paul then ran through the Project Boundary Adjustment proposal (pages 25-26 of the agenda pack). The proposal is to extend the boundary for the TF11 North Kirkby Gateway project to include elements of the Portland Street Sustainable Housing Scheme. Jas agreed that the decision to move the boundary makes sense. Martin also mirrored this. Melanie Phythian commented that she was unsure if this needed to be submitted for project submission as the Town Deal boundary is not changing, it is just the development site being extended and she will check with colleagues if this is something that can be agreed locally. The board is asked to agree the realignment of the project boundary for TF11 North Kirkby Gateway Project. The realignment may be subject to DLUHC approval. Will Morlidge proposed and Fiona Anderson seconded this.			ıg
of the Monitoring and Evaluation submission. Martin asked the board if there was a need for any amendments of the report and to bring this back to the board or if it is agreed that comments can be actioned on the next report. Sarah confirmed the date for submission was Friday 9th June and that she would like the board to be comfortable with the report for submission. Martin confirmed he is happy to sign this report off, with the agreement that future reports are presented differently and more clearly for the board. Paula agreed with Martin as there had been a full and informed presentation with no concerns but that an improved report would be helpful. Fiona Anderson expressed there had been a good discussion and happy to go forward with this. Jas also agreed the information was helpful and that he will work with Paul to represent the information for a future board meeting and get this over to board members for comments. Fiona Anderson proposed the submission and Darron Ellis seconded this. Paul then ran through the Project Boundary Adjustment proposal (pages 25-26 of the agenda pack). The proposal is to extend the boundary for the TF11 North Kirkby Gateway project to include elements of the Portland Street Sustainable Housing Scheme. Jas agreed that the decision to move the boundary makes sense. Martin also mirrored this. Melanie Phythian commented that she was unsure if this needed to be submitted for project submission as the Town Deal boundary is not changing, it is just the development site being extended and she will check with colleagues if this is something that can be agreed locally. The board is asked to agree the realignment of the project boundary for TF11 North Kirkby Gateway Project. The realignment may be subject to DLUHC approval. Will Morlidge proposed and Fiona Anderson seconded this. Funding Update – Sarah Daniel Sarah gave an update on the Shared Prosperity Fund as this has moved into)
and to bring this back to the board or if it is agreed that comments can be actioned on the next report. Sarah confirmed the date for submission was Friday 9th June and that she would like the board to be comfortable with the report for submission. Martin confirmed he is happy to sign this report off, with the agreement that future reports are presented differently and more clearly for the board. Paula agreed with Martin as there had been a full and informed presentation with no concerns but that an improved report would be helpful. Fiona Anderson expressed there had been a good discussion and happy to go forward with this. Jas also agreed the information was helpful and that he will work with Paul to represent the information for a future board meeting and get this over to board members for comments. Fiona Anderson proposed the submission and Darron Ellis seconded this. Paul then ran through the Project Boundary Adjustment proposal (pages 25-26 of the agenda pack). The proposal is to extend the boundary for the TF11 North Kirkby Gateway project to include elements of the Portland Street Sustainable Housing Scheme. Jas agreed that the decision to move the boundary makes sense. Martin also mirrored this. Melanie Phythian commented that she was unsure if this needed to be submitted for project submission as the Town Deal boundary is not changing, it is just the development site being extended and she will check with colleagues if this is something that can be agreed locally. The board is asked to agree the realignment of the project boundary for TF11 North Kirkby Gateway Project. The realignment may be subject to DLUHC approval. Will Morlidge proposed and Fiona Anderson seconded this. Funding Update – Sarah Daniel Sarah gave an update on the Shared Prosperity Fund as this has moved into		·	summary
would like the board to be comfortable with the report for submission. Martin confirmed he is happy to sign this report off, with the agreement that future reports are presented differently and more clearly for the board. Paula agreed with Martin as there had been a full and informed presentation with no concerns but that an improved report would be helpful. Fiona Anderson expressed there had been a good discussion and happy to go forward with this. Jas also agreed the information was helpful and that he will work with Paul to represent the information for a future board meeting and get this over to board members for comments. Fiona Anderson proposed the submission and Darron Ellis seconded this. Paul then ran through the Project Boundary Adjustment proposal (pages 25-26 of the agenda pack). The proposal is to extend the boundary for the TF11 North Kirkby Gateway project to include elements of the Portland Street Sustainable Housing Scheme. Jas agreed that the decision to move the boundary makes sense. Martin also mirrored this. Melanie Phythian commented that she was unsure if this needed to be submitted for project submission as the Town Deal boundary is not changing, it is just the development site being extended and she will check with colleagues if this is something that can be agreed locally. The board is asked to agree the realignment of the project boundary for TF11 North Kirkby Gateway Project. The realignment may be subject to DLUHC approval. Will Morlidge proposed and Fiona Anderson seconded this. Funding Update – Sarah Daniel Sarah gave an update on the Shared Prosperity Fund as this has moved into		and to bring this back to the board or if it is agreed that comments ca	•
future reports are presented differently and more clearly for the board. Paula agreed with Martin as there had been a full and informed presentation with no concerns but that an improved report would be helpful. Fiona Anderson expressed there had been a good discussion and happy to go forward with this. Jas also agreed the information was helpful and that he will work with Paul to represent the information for a future board meeting and get this over to board members for comments. Fiona Anderson proposed the submission and Darron Ellis seconded this. Paul then ran through the Project Boundary Adjustment proposal (pages 25-26 of the agenda pack). The proposal is to extend the boundary for the TF11 North Kirkby Gateway project to include elements of the Portland Street Sustainable Housing Scheme. Jas agreed that the decision to move the boundary makes sense. Martin also mirrored this. Melanie Phythian commented that she was unsure if this needed to be submitted for project submission as the Town Deal boundary is not changing, it is just the development site being extended and she will check with colleagues if this is something that can be agreed locally. The board is asked to agree the realignment of the project boundary for TF11 North Kirkby Gateway Project. The realignment may be subject to DLUHC approval. Will Morlidge proposed and Fiona Anderson seconded this. Funding Update – Sarah Daniel Sarah gave an update on the Shared Prosperity Fund as this has moved into			
with no concerns but that an improved report would be helpful. Fiona Anderson expressed there had been a good discussion and happy to go forward with this. Jas also agreed the information was helpful and that he will work with Paul to represent the information for a future board meeting and get this over to board members for comments. Fiona Anderson proposed the submission and Darron Ellis seconded this. Paul then ran through the Project Boundary Adjustment proposal (pages 25-26 of the agenda pack). The proposal is to extend the boundary for the TF11 North Kirkby Gateway project to include elements of the Portland Street Sustainable Housing Scheme. Jas agreed that the decision to move the boundary makes sense. Martin also mirrored this. Melanie Phythian commented that she was unsure if this needed to be submitted for project submission as the Town Deal boundary is not changing, it is just the development site being extended and she will check with colleagues if this is something that can be agreed locally. The board is asked to agree the realignment of the project boundary for TF11 North Kirkby Gateway Project. The realignment may be subject to DLUHC approval. Will Morlidge proposed and Fiona Anderson seconded this. Funding Update – Sarah Daniel Sarah gave an update on the Shared Prosperity Fund as this has moved into		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
 Fiona Anderson expressed there had been a good discussion and happy to go forward with this. Jas also agreed the information was helpful and that he will work with Paul to represent the information for a future board meeting and get this over to board members for comments. Fiona Anderson proposed the submission and Darron Ellis seconded this. Paul then ran through the Project Boundary Adjustment proposal (pages 25-26 of the agenda pack). The proposal is to extend the boundary for the TF11 North Kirkby Gateway project to include elements of the Portland Street Sustainable Housing Scheme. Jas agreed that the decision to move the boundary makes sense. Martin also mirrored this. Melanie Phythian commented that she was unsure if this needed to be submitted for project submission as the Town Deal boundary is not changing, it is just the development site being extended and she will check with colleagues if this is something that can be agreed locally. The board is asked to agree the realignment of the project boundary for TF11 North Kirkby Gateway Project. The realignment may be subject to DLUHC approval. Will Morlidge proposed and Fiona Anderson seconded this. Funding Update - Sarah Daniel Sarah gave an update on the Shared Prosperity Fund as this has moved into 		·	entation
represent the information for a future board meeting and get this over to board members for comments. • Fiona Anderson proposed the submission and Darron Ellis seconded this. • Paul then ran through the Project Boundary Adjustment proposal (pages 25-26 of the agenda pack). • The proposal is to extend the boundary for the TF11 North Kirkby Gateway project to include elements of the Portland Street Sustainable Housing Scheme. • Jas agreed that the decision to move the boundary makes sense. • Martin also mirrored this. • Melanie Phythian commented that she was unsure if this needed to be submitted for project submission as the Town Deal boundary is not changing, it is just the development site being extended and she will check with colleagues if this is something that can be agreed locally. • The board is asked to agree the realignment of the project boundary for TF11 North Kirkby Gateway Project. The realignment may be subject to DLUHC approval. • Will Morlidge proposed and Fiona Anderson seconded this. a Funding Update – Sarah Daniel • Sarah gave an update on the Shared Prosperity Fund as this has moved into		·	appy to
 Paul then ran through the Project Boundary Adjustment proposal (pages 25-26 of the agenda pack). The proposal is to extend the boundary for the TF11 North Kirkby Gateway project to include elements of the Portland Street Sustainable Housing Scheme. Jas agreed that the decision to move the boundary makes sense. Martin also mirrored this. Melanie Phythian commented that she was unsure if this needed to be submitted for project submission as the Town Deal boundary is not changing, it is just the development site being extended and she will check with colleagues if this is something that can be agreed locally. The board is asked to agree the realignment of the project boundary for TF11 North Kirkby Gateway Project. The realignment may be subject to DLUHC approval. Will Morlidge proposed and Fiona Anderson seconded this. Funding Update - Sarah Daniel Sarah gave an update on the Shared Prosperity Fund as this has moved into 		represent the information for a future board meeting and get this over	
 Paul then ran through the Project Boundary Adjustment proposal (pages 25-26 of the agenda pack). The proposal is to extend the boundary for the TF11 North Kirkby Gateway project to include elements of the Portland Street Sustainable Housing Scheme. Jas agreed that the decision to move the boundary makes sense. Martin also mirrored this. Melanie Phythian commented that she was unsure if this needed to be submitted for project submission as the Town Deal boundary is not changing, it is just the development site being extended and she will check with colleagues if this is something that can be agreed locally. The board is asked to agree the realignment of the project boundary for TF11 North Kirkby Gateway Project. The realignment may be subject to DLUHC approval. Will Morlidge proposed and Fiona Anderson seconded this. Funding Update - Sarah Daniel Sarah gave an update on the Shared Prosperity Fund as this has moved into 		 Fiona Anderson proposed the submission and Darron Ellis seconded 	this.
project to include elements of the Portland Street Sustainable Housing Scheme. Jas agreed that the decision to move the boundary makes sense. Martin also mirrored this. Melanie Phythian commented that she was unsure if this needed to be submitted for project submission as the Town Deal boundary is not changing, it is just the development site being extended and she will check with colleagues if this is something that can be agreed locally. The board is asked to agree the realignment of the project boundary for TF11 North Kirkby Gateway Project. The realignment may be subject to DLUHC approval. Will Morlidge proposed and Fiona Anderson seconded this. Funding Update – Sarah Daniel Sarah gave an update on the Shared Prosperity Fund as this has moved into		 Paul then ran through the Project Boundary Adjustment proposal (pa 	
 Martin also mirrored this. Melanie Phythian commented that she was unsure if this needed to be submitted for project submission as the Town Deal boundary is not changing, it is just the development site being extended and she will check with colleagues if this is something that can be agreed locally. The board is asked to agree the realignment of the project boundary for TF11 North Kirkby Gateway Project. The realignment may be subject to DLUHC approval. Will Morlidge proposed and Fiona Anderson seconded this. Funding Update – Sarah Daniel Sarah gave an update on the Shared Prosperity Fund as this has moved into 		project to include elements of the Portland Street Sustainable Housin	•
 Melanie Phythian commented that she was unsure if this needed to be submitted for project submission as the Town Deal boundary is not changing, it is just the development site being extended and she will check with colleagues if this is something that can be agreed locally. The board is asked to agree the realignment of the project boundary for TF11 North Kirkby Gateway Project. The realignment may be subject to DLUHC approval. Will Morlidge proposed and Fiona Anderson seconded this. Funding Update – Sarah Daniel Sarah gave an update on the Shared Prosperity Fund as this has moved into 		 Jas agreed that the decision to move the boundary makes sense. 	
submitted for project submission as the Town Deal boundary is not changing, it is just the development site being extended and she will check with colleagues if this is something that can be agreed locally. • The board is asked to agree the realignment of the project boundary for TF11 North Kirkby Gateway Project. The realignment may be subject to DLUHC approval. • Will Morlidge proposed and Fiona Anderson seconded this. a Funding Update – Sarah Daniel • Sarah gave an update on the Shared Prosperity Fund as this has moved into		Martin also mirrored this.	
The board is asked to agree the realignment of the project boundary for TF11 North Kirkby Gateway Project. The realignment may be subject to DLUHC approval. Will Morlidge proposed and Fiona Anderson seconded this. Funding Update – Sarah Daniel Sarah gave an update on the Shared Prosperity Fund as this has moved into		submitted for project submission as the Town Deal boundary is not clit is just the development site being extended and she will check with	hanging,
 a Funding Update – Sarah Daniel Sarah gave an update on the Shared Prosperity Fund as this has moved into 		North Kirkby Gateway Project. The realignment may be subject to DL approval.	
Sarah gave an update on the Shared Prosperity Fund as this has moved into		 Will Morlidge proposed and Fiona Anderson seconded this. 	
	а		
			ved into

- The project manager has been asked to do a review on the programme to ensure the funding is spent by the end of the programme, which is March 2025.
- The majority of projects are on target however some may have some underspend. There will be a report at the next board meeting regarding this and if any more needs to be moved.
- Sarah explained that Ashfield is one of the 54 priority places being supported by the Arts Council to develop the arts and cultural offer. Priorities places are where fewer people are involved in creativity and culture, have received less Arts Council Funding, and have few places where people can get involved in creativity and culture.
- There is an officer from the Arts Council, Heloise Davies, who is supporting with this.
- There has is an Ashfield Arts Partnership, a subgroup of the board, which
 feeds into the Mansfield and Ashfield Cultural Compact. The first meeting was
 in April, to introduce Heloise to the group, and a follow up meeting.
- Partners have agreed that the next step is to develop funding applications to support enhanced arts provision and participation in the District, supporting the asset-based projects being delivered through Towns Fund / Future High Streets Fund.
- The board is asked to approve allocating £10,000 from E6 Events and activities to support the development and submission of funding bid(s) to the Arts Council and other funding bodies.
- Fiona commented she is very supportive of this and the benefits it can bring to Ashfield.
- Fiona Anderson proposed this decision and Paula Longden seconded.
- Sarah then gave an update on the Shared Prosperity Fund project for E10 Cycling and Walking.
- In year 1 the following activity was delivered by Ridewise:
 Promotional campaign to build interest in donating bikes, getting bikes refurbished and improving bike maintenance skills, as well as cycle confidence.

8 weeks of sessions were held at Titchfield Park, Hucknall which provided 1 Dr Bike 2-hour session and 2 fun sessions, each 1 hour, with a maximum of 12 spaces each.

During the sessions, over 30 bikes were donated, refurbished and repurposed and the fun sessions all had over 90% occupancy.

- The proposal for year 2 is to continue work with Ridewise to deliver further sessions at Titchfield Park from July – November and then restart for February and March 2024. Cost £14,686.
- Also, to engage a local artist to work with them and some attendees to develop the container purchased for Titchfield Park into a cycle hub. This would include artwork for the container. Cost £1,000.
- To deliver one walk leader training course in the district and cover expenses for volunteers to travel across the district to the course. Cost £1,000.
- To deliver an 8-week programme pilot in an Ashfield Secondary School with young people from one priority place. The pilot would engage up-to 15 young people to co-design a programme that works for them. The session will

	include taster sessions in a variety of activities, including walking and cycling, and will help to support them to create something sustainable. Cost £2,600.
	 The board is asked to consider and approve the proposal for year 2 of the project
	 Will Morlidge proposed this, and Fiona Anderson seconded.
5	Board Member Updates – All
	There were no board member updates.
6	Any Other Business - All
	None.
10	Date of Next Meeting – Friday 14th July 2023.



Programmes and Funding Update July 2023

The report format has been updated to provide a more detailed overview of the projects being delivered following feedback from the last Board meeting and recommendations from the internal audit undertaken from January – April and provides further detail on risk changes and financial monitoring.

Across the Towns Fund, Future High Streets, Levelling Up Fund and UKSPF programmes we are delivering £104 million of direct investment into Ashfield.

1. Future High Streets Fund

1.1 Finance:

The table below sets out the approved profiled income from DLUHC and associated cofunding for each project.

Project	RDEL/CDEL	21/22 (£)	22/23 (£)	23/24 (£)	24/25 (£)	25/26 (£)	Total (£)
FHSF Sutton Academy	RDEL						
Theatre / Cornerstone	(Revenue)	-	-	-	-	_	-
	CDEL						
	(Capital)	48,545	143,287	2,155,287			2,347,118
		48,545	143,287	2,155,287	-	-	2,347,118
	0 ();			100.000			100.000
	Co-funding			100,000		+	100,000
						-	1
TF19 Comparators	CDEL		1,496		498,504		F00 000
TF18 Cornerstone	CDEL	-	1,496	-	498,504	-	500,000
	TOTAL						2,947,118
FHSF High Pavement	RDEL						-
	CDEL	000 242	140.043	F44 F44			4 654 665
	CDEL	988,342	148,812	514,511		+	1,651,665
	Sub Total	988,342	148,812	514,511	-	_	1,651,665
		, .					,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
						+	
	Co-funding		_	937,289			937,289
	Total						2,588,954
FHSF Low Street 9-11 and							
No 14	RDEL						1
	CDEL	86,920	1 001 310	E91 770			1 750 000
	CDEL	00,920	1,081,310	581,770			1,750,000
	Co funding			647 022			647 022
	Co-funding			647,933			647,933

	Total					2,397,933
FHSF Fox Street pop- up food court and car park	RDEL					
	CDEL	67,314	44,397	419,378		531,088
	Co-funding	-		70,912		70,912
	Total					602,000

1.2 Progress

A progress and risk-based summary for each project is provided below.

Project	Achievements/ progress/next steps	Risk Level / programme and cost
Theatre Project	RIBA stage 2 has been completed. The design team are now progressing RIBA stage 3. A revised programme has been forecast to deliver the project. Delays in finalising RIBA stage 2 have pushed the completion of the project back. We are now in discussion with DLUHC regarding revising the delivery programme. There remain budget pressures with the project.	1
Low Street	14 Low Street - Complete. 9-11 Low Street - Value engineering completed and due to be retendered late July. The project is behind the forecast programme due to delays in managing the inflation cost risk but remains on track for completion March 2024.	\
High Pavement	Project commenced on site and remains on track to complete November. Makerspace committee has been formed and is developing the project awareness and equipment fit out.	+
Fox Street	Two unsuccessful open procurement routes have delayed the delivery of the project. We are now working with a framework contractor to establish a fixed cost for the scheme to enable it to proceed. It is anticipated that the project will progress on site in October.	1

2. Towns Fund

2.0 Finance

The table below sets out the approved profiled income from DLUHC and associated cofunding for each project.

Project	RDEL/CDEL	21/22 (£)	22/23 (£)	23/24 (£)	24/25 (£)	25/26 (£)	Total (£)
TF-01 Ashfield Civil	RDEL	, (-/	, (-/	-, (-)	, (_/	, (-)	ι τ_/
Engineering Centre	(Revenue)	-					-
	CDEL						
	(Capital)	6,902	46,865	2,047,367	-		2,101,134
			46.06=				2 4 2 4 4 2 4
	Sub Total	6,902	46,865	2,047,367	-	-	2,101,134
	Co-funding		453,017	250,000	60,500		763,517
	CO-Turiumg		433,017	250,000	00,300		703,317
	TOTAL						2,864,651
TF-02 Ashfield							
Construction Centre	RDEL	-	-	-	-	-	-
	CDEL	44746	24 277	4 400 077	4 022 555		- 477
	CDEL	14,746	21,377	1,108,877	4,032,555		5,177,555
	Total	14,746	21,377	1,108,877	4,032,555	_	5,177,555
			,	, ,	, ,		, ,
	Co-funding		-	1,837,500	-	-	1,837,500
	TOTAL						7,015,055
TF-03 Automated							
Distribution and							
Manufacturing Centre	RDEL	-	-	500,000	375,000	125,000	1,000,000
	CDEL	17,035	153,724	19,079,241	233,141	_	19,483,141
	CDEE	17,033	155,724	15,075,241	255,141		13,403,141
	Sub Total	17,035	153,724	19,579,241	608,141	125,000	20,483,141
	Co-funding				467,000	934,000	1,401,000
	TOTAL						21,884,141
TF-04 Cycling and Walking							
Routes	RDEL	-	-	-	-	-	-
	CDEL	1,142	30,000	1,808,138	97,000	-	1,936,280
		<u> </u>	,	, , , = =	,		, ,
	Total	1,142	30,000	1,808,138	97,000	-	1,936,280

		1					
	Co-funding			-	45,000		45,000
	TOTAL						1,981,280
TF-05 Enterprising	TOTAL						1,381,280
Ashfield	RDEL	2,344	681,553	1,360,103	985,000	816,000	3,845,000
	CDEL	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Sub Total	2,344	681,553	1,360,103	985,000	816,000	3,845,000
	Co-funding						3,746,867
	TOTAL						7,591,867
TF-06 Green Ashfield	RDEL	-	-	-	-	-	-
	CDEL	1,142	114,457	1,684,401	398,048		2,198,048
	Sub Total	1,142	114,457	1,684,401	398,048	-	2,198,048
	Co-funding			2,812,134	317,167	-	3,129,301
	TOTAL						5,327,349
TF-07 High Street Property Fund	RDEL	_	-	_	_	-	-
	CDEL	18,265	4,631	876,305			899,201
	Sub Total	18,265	4,631	876,305	_	_	899,201
	Co-funding		,,,,,	100,000			100,000
	Columning			100,000			100,000
	TOTAL						999,201
TF-08 Kings Mill Reservoir Leisure Development	RDEL	_	_	_	-	-	_
zeisare zereiopment	CDEL	23,196	459,422	2,059,125	810,257		3,352,000
	Sub Total	127,000	2,414,743	2,414,743	2,414,743		3,352,000
	Co-funding			192,000	456,000		648,000
	TOTAL						4,000,000
TF-09 Kingsway Sports Hub	RDEL	-	-	-	-	_	-
1100							
	CDEL	5,640	87,059	1,398,977			1,491,676
	Total	5,640	87,059	1,398,977	-	-	1,491,676
	Co-funding	39,000			910,000		949,000
	CO Turiding	23,000			323,000		3.3,000

	TOTAL						2,440,676
TF-10 Library Innovation Centres	RDEL	-	-	48,000	51,000	51,000	150,000
	CDEL		3,375	509,625	38,000	36,478	587,478
	Sub Total	-	3,375	557,625	89,000	87,478	737,478
			5,610		00,000		
	Co-funding				113,000		113,000
	TOTAL						850,478
TF-11 North Kirkby Gateway	RDEL	-	-	-	90,000	90,000	180,000
	CDEL	7,935	83,605	1,520,583	4,630,797	3,786,727	10,029,648
	Sub Total	7,935	83,605	1,520,583	4,720,797	3,876,727	10,209,648
	Co-funding				2,155,000	2,985,999	5,140,999
TF-12 Portland Square	TOTAL						15,350,647
Refurbishment	RDEL	-	-	-	-	-	-
	CDEL	65,000	400,000	264,352	-	-	729,352
	Total	65,000	400,000	264,352	-	-	729,352
	Co-funding	-		136,000			136,000
TF-14 Science Discovery	TOTAL			T		l	865,352
Centre & Planetarium	RDEL	-	-	-	-	-	-
	CDEL	149,500	385,786	1,554,714	208,004		2,248,004
	Total	149,500	385,786	1,554,714	208,004	-	2,298,004
	Co-funding	-	29,000		956,000		985,000
LUF- Science Discovery Centre	CDEL	-	250,000	1,425,000	1,425,000	-	3,100,000
TF-15 Sutton Lawn Sports	Total						6,333,004
Hub	RDEL	-	-	-	-	-	-
	CDEL	5,661	113,904	651,435	1,247,633		2,018,633
	Total	5,661	113,904	651,435	1,247,633	-	2,018,633

	Co-funding			83,340	2,064,000		2,147,340
	CO-Turiumg			03,340	2,004,000		2,147,340
	_						
	TOTAL	ı		T	T		4,165,973
TF-16 Visitor Digital Offer	RDEL	-	-	-	-	-	-
	CDEL	11,474	58,539	144,797			214,809
	Total	11,474	58,539	144,797	-	_	214,809
	Co-funding			45,000			45,000
	TOTAL						259,809
TF-17 West Kirkby							
TF-17 West Kirkby Gateway	RDEL	-	-	-	-	-	-
	RDEL	6,908	250,128	1,459,965	2,741,041	-	
-						-	-
	CDEL	6,908	250,128	1,459,965	2,741,041		4,458,041
-	CDEL	6,908	250,128	1,459,965	2,741,041		4,458,041
-	CDEL	6,908	250,128	1,459,965	2,741,041 2,741,041		- 4,458,041 4,458,041

2.4 Project highlights report

A progress and risk-based summary for each project is provided below.

Project	Achievements/ progress/next steps	Risk Level / programme and cost
Be Healthy Be		
North Kirkby Gateway	The realignment of the boundary approved by the board will be submitted to DLUHC in July. We are working with a developer to masterplan the land across Pond Hole and Ellis Street sites and will be drafting a developer agreement to manage the project delivery.	1
Kirkby Sports Hub	A new project design team are being appointed to review and finalise RIBA stage 2 and address budget risks to ensure the scheme remains within the budget envelope. The target date for the Football Foundation submission has been moved to July 2024 to ensure sufficient time to meet the level of approvals required.	1

Sutton Lawn Sports Hub	A new project design team are being appointed to review and finalise RIBA stage 2 and address budget risks to ensure the scheme remains within the budget envelope. The target date for the Football Foundation submission has been moved to July 2024 to ensure sufficient time to meet the level of approvals required. Improvements to the tennis courts are being progressed this summer through the Lawn Tennis Association (LTA) framework and funding.	1
More to Discover		
Sutton Town Centre		
Portland Square	Nottinghamshire County Councill has committed to waiver the S278 agreement fees for the project and are progressing the S278 agreement review process. We are working with a framework contractor to establish a fixed cost for the scheme to enable it to proceed. It is anticipated that the project will progress on site in January 2024.	*
Sutton Academy Theatre	RIBA stage 2 has now been completed. The design team are now progressing RIBA stage 3. A revised programme has been forecast to deliver the project.	1
Visitor Economy		
Cycling and walking routes	The procurement of the design team is now progressing.	↔
Visitor digital offer	The web and app digital infrastructure are built and awaiting testing. The project team are now progressing the development of the content. Options are being developed for marketing content to support the launch.	+
Science Discovery Centre and Planetarium	MSAS are finalising the fixed price costs with the appointed predevelopment phase construction contractor. The contracts are due to be signed July 2023.	+
Kings Mill Reservoir leisure development	The enabling contract work for the new leisure building commenced on 28 th June. The initial stages will progress the demolition of the former club house and construction of the new car park. Negotiations are ongoing for an operator to take on the new facilities.	\
Succeed in Ashfield		
ADMC (Kirkby/Sutton)	The business case for the ADMC project has now been approved by DLUHC. A formal offer has been submitted to the landowner for site option A and negotiations are ongoing. Outline planning approval is being progressed for site option B.	1
Ashfield Construction Centre and Satellite	Handover of the RIBA stage 2 development work undertaken by VWNC is underway to the ADC project manager. The procurement strategy and delivery model are being reviewed for agreement to proceed.	+

Ashfield Civil	Project development work is underway including site surveys	\leftrightarrow
Engineering Centre	and assessments in preparation for the planning application.	
	Complexities around land ownership are being reviewed to	
	enable the legal agreements between ADC and Vision West	
	Notts to be progressed.	
Enterprising	Delivery Achievements:	
Ashfield	Enterprise – HeadStart	• •
	7 clients on current cohort starting 7th July	
	32 clients assessed and confirmed Enterprise Ready	
	32 Grant Agreements signed	
	32 grants paid to clients	
	7 clients being supported through 1:1 mentoring	
	Enterprise – R&D Collaboration	
	3 enrolled	
	12 potential leads. We are supporting several eligible	
	businesses through our Productivity through Innovation	
	programme that will progress onto additional support through	
	Enterprising Ashfield.	
	Supply Chain Management Event: 8 businesses	
	registered to attend but only 3 businesses attended.	
	2 businesses have signed up for further support.	
	Enterprise – Growth	
	11 Total enrolments to date	
	9 Clients currently undergoing support	
	1 growth grant authorised.	
	16 businesses in pipeline	
	Retail offer being developed as part of our	
	Demonstrator projects. – Our Growth Business Adviser has met	
	and facilitated tours around Kirkby in Ashfield and Sutton in	
	Ashfield town centres with Ophelia Gamble and Wendy Walker	
	from The High Street Experts. As a result, options about	
	potential delivery projects regarding our retail demonstrator are	
	now being scoped.	
	Talent – Graduate Placements	
	11 graduates placed since start of programme.	
	9 Placements completed.	
	9 Grant Agreements in pipeline	
	Talent – Apprenticeships	
	23 live apprenticeships	
	28 potential leads	
	Range of apprenticeships – Level 5 Nursing Associate, Chille	
	Skills	
	20 short courses completed: 07 clients attended courses to date	
	97 clients attended courses to date.	
	69 enrolments for future courses 7 places backed for 2nd/2rd courses	
	• 52 places booked for 2nd/3rd courses.	
	5 bespoke courses in pipeline/delivered with a potential of 123 participants:	

of 123 participants:

Library Innovation Centres - Kirkby & Sutton	The official opening of the Kirkby Library project takes place on 15 th July. Project planning is progressing for the Sutton project.	\leftrightarrow
Greener Ashfield		
West Kirkby Gateway & public transport hub	RIBA Stage 2 design development work has been progressed for the North side of Lane End and will be completed early July.	\leftrightarrow
Green Ashfield	The first retrofit PV system has been completed at 14 Low Street with the second scheme underway at High Pavement House. A metering and data collection strategy is being progressed and the asset investment plan and procurement strategy is being finalised.	\(\)
High Street Property Fund	Development phase for the Moor Market flats is underway. The property market is being monitored for new opportunities.	\leftrightarrow

2.5 Future Monitoring and Evaluation

The monitoring and evaluation return has been submitted to DLUHC. DLUHC are testing an online system although timelines have not been confirmed. It has been highlighted to DLUHC that dashboard tools to aid signoff would be beneficial for board approvals.

2.6 Project Adjustment Request Guidance

Confirmation has been received from DLUHC that new guidance for the **Project Adjustment Request** (PAR) has been approved.

A summary of the changes are provided below:

To expedite delivery, DLUHC are delegating decisions over project changes to Accountable Bodies within a 30% threshold as follows:

- up to 30% change to agreed project outputs and outcomes (up or down, DLUCH would expect this to normally be a reduction, recognising the impact of inflation on project scope). Changes to the type of outputs are not in scope, i.e. changes from transport outputs to public realm outputs which would be considered a material change in the type of project;
- 2) changes to project spend timetable with up to 30% flexibility between financial years;
- 3) moving money between projects within a Town Deal, FHSF programme or Levelling Up Fund bid provided i) the change does not exceed 30% of project value ii) the project remains good value for money as per the requirements of each fund and iii) outputs, outcomes and spend timetable do not move by more than 30%.

2.7 Communications

- ADMC automation event.
- 14 Low Street Opening of the new Rendezvous café and bar business.
- High Pavement Start of construction contract.
- Kings Mill Reservoir Start of contract.

Next period:

- TF01 Civils Vision West Notts College takes a step closer following the submission of a planning application.
- TF 03 Announcement of plans including site assembly for the ADMC. (Subject to land agreement)
- TF 07 Announcement of plans for the residential flats above Moor Market (Lowmoor Road)
- TF14 Contract award for the Science Discovery Centre
- Public Q&A sessions for the programmes.

2.8 Risk registers

The Towns Deal and Future High Streets programmes have the following changes in risk: -

- Where necessary, procurement frameworks are being utilised to advance projects.
- Formal approval for Towns Deal project adjustments received but still awaiting Future High Streets project adjustments.
- Processes for reporting continue to be refined.
- Grant Funding agreements are being put in place and partnering agreements are being developed.

A separate risk register is being kept for the UKSPF programme. Whilst some projects are being or have been delivered, there are many projects that are being procured through joint commissioning and local procurement. The procurement process in some cases has taken longer than anticipated. The various processes highlight the pressures of affordability, competency, and quality.

The Council submitted a plan to deliver Year 1 (2022-2023) underspend, and DLUHC has approved the plan to carry forward the spend. For Year 2 (2023-2024) there is no guarantee that underspend will be able to be carried forward to Year 3 (2024-2025). Project managers have forecasted or are in the process of forecasting planned spend for Year 2 and are considering, where possible, how to accelerate, or reduce or reallocate spending. These considerations are reported in Section 3.

2.9 Procurement

Project	Contractor / Consultant	Value	SME or VCSE Y/N	Procurement Type	Project	Status	Address	Award Date
Reporting Period	4th May - 5th July 2023							
FHSF	Bloom - CPMG	£15,500.00		Bloom	Theatre	Complete		30.05.23
TF08	Lindum Ltd	£901,770.00		Scape Framework	Kings Mill	Complete	Lindum Business Park, Station Road, North Hykeham, Lincoln LN6 3QX	30.06.23

2.10 Towns Fund and Future High Streets Decisions

The Future High Streets Project / TF18 Cornerstone Theatre project has now completed RIBA stage 2. The team have explored multiple options to address inflation and project cost complexities to set a design that represents the stakeholder's requirements whilst meeting the agreed outputs of the project.

The tables below outline the current funding income and RIBA stage 2 cost model.

Project Funding	
FHSF Capital	£ 2,346,545
AATFE	£ 100,000
Towns Fund TF 18 Cornerstone project allocation	£ 500,000
Green Ashfield TF06 allocation	£ 250,000
TOTAL BUDGET	£ 3,196,545

RIBA Stage 2 Cost Plan	
Project Management Fees	£ 41,514.66
Theatre Consultant	£ 55,548.50
Branding	£ 6,750.00
Surveys	£ 50,000.00
Planning	£ 500.00
Design Fees	£ 214,174.00
Equipment	£ 660,000.00
Construction (including external)	£ 1,989,348.00
Inflation (10%)	£ 264,934.80
Contingency (12.5%)	£ 331,168.50
Total Project Costs	£ 3,572,423.80
Funding Gap	- £375,879.30

It is recommended to increase the budget for the project by £375,800 to enable the project to proceed. Alternative funding options have been explored however they are unachievable within the timeframe to deliver the project. We are therefore asking the board to consider the relocation of funds from TF02 Construction Centre Project.

It was reported to the board in May that the Council had obtained advice in relation to the recoverable VAT for TF02 Construction Centre. The VAT advice outlined that where the Council directly delivered the Towns Fund investment, the VAT could be recovered. The business case development had made the assumption that Vision West Notts College would deliver the investment under a grant agreement and therefore would include the VAT as unrecoverable.

The VAT allocation within the project budget is £757,000. The RIBA stage 2 development has identified that the scheme remains within the estimated budget envelope without using the VAT allocation after factoring in contingency and inflation.

We therefore recommend that £381,200 is retained as a risk contingency sum and or considered to support any residual funding gap within the TF14 Science Discovery Centre Project, subject to any further challenges of MSAS meeting their identified funding strategy objectives and the balance of £375,800 to be reallocated to TF18 Cornerstone Theatre.

<u>Decision 1:</u> To note and approve the recommendation for the transfer of funds (£375,800) between TF02 and the TF18 Cornerstone project. The transfer of funds is within the board's delegated powers but will be subject to the approval of a project adjustment request (PAR) to DLUHC.

3.0 UK Shared Prosperity Fund

3.1 Programme Update

On 2 May, the Council submitted its year-end report for UKSPF and in June, DLUHC confirmed that the year-end report and Credible Plan were accepted. The year 2 allocation and year 1 carry forward fund are approved we can implement our plans with confidence.

3.1.1 Communities and Place

Most community infrastructure projects are either on track for delivery or have been delivered. The Hucknall High Street Improvement Fund will launch jointly with the High Street Grant on 31 July, using an online tool. There is already interest in both schemes. Community programmes are at various stages of procurement. Changes are further detailed in section 3.2.

3.1.2 Supporting Local Business

Many of the services are part of the Nottinghamshire County Council joint procurement for services to Support Local Business. The framework has been awarded to the East Midlands Chamber of Commerce, the details of which are captured in section 3.3. Smaller projects are being delivered and are further detailed in section 3.2. Specialist events are being planned for Year 2, including an event to support the Hucknall and High Street Grants launch and a Net Zero event in early November.

3.1.3 People and Skills

There are two key services that are being procured for delivery in year 2, detailed in section 3.2 and 3.3.

3.2 Year 2 Review

As reported at the May Board meeting, a mid-year review has been undertaken across the SPF programme with a number of recommendations provided below.

E6 Visitor economy - total allocation £150,000

The visitor economy has a total three-year allocation of £150k. In Year 1 £50,000, Year 2 £40,000, and Year 3 £60,000. Due to underspending in Year 1, £48,590 was carried forward to the Year 2 budget. This has created a budget for year 2 of £88,590.00. A plan is being developed to maximise spend but it is unlikely that the whole budget will be spent. In Year 2 it is proposed to reallocate £40,000 as match funding for an Arts Council Place Partnership Funding Bid.

The Arts Council Funding Bid aligns to the visitor economy work and can maximise value for the District. As agreed at the last Board meeting £10k of reallocated SPF funds is being used for consultancy support to prepare the bid which will support increased creative engagement and activity and build skills and capacity in the cultural sector. The bid includes in-kind contributions of £300k, together with SPF funding this will allow us to bid for the maximum grant of £1million.

Decision 1: the board is asked to consider and approve the reallocation of £40,000 to be used as match funding for the Arts Council funding bid.

E9 Green Social prescribing 1 April 2023- 31 March 2025- total allocation - £70,000

In March, the Board agreed the Year 2 allocation for green prescribing community growing and allotments of £10,000. This consists of £5,000 for coordination and £5,000 to support small community grants, investment in planters for Coxmoor, Leamington and Broomhill/Butler's Hill and the distribution of seeds. The project has been reviewed and it is proposed to bring forward £20,000 from the £60,000 in Year 3 (2024-25) into this financial year. This is to both accelerate and maximise the opportunity to deliver the project's outputs and outcomes. A detailed proposal will be brought to the August Board meeting for consideration.

E10 Cycling and Walking February 2023- 31 March 2025- total allocation £90,000

The project in Hucknall is delivering further cycling for fun and maintenance sessions, will develop a cycle hub and deliver a walking course with volunteers. In Year 1 £10k was allocated to the programme, which was well received and exceeded expectations in delivery. As only £9,175 was spent in Year 1, £825 was carried forward into year 2 which increases the allocation to £20,825. In May, the Board agreed the Year 2 funding of £20,968 which reduced the Year 3 allocation to £59,857. The Hucknall project is very popular with residents, and the proposal will identify how we can capitalise on momentum and deliver the project in a second area. This would increase the Year 2 allocation to £40,968 and reduce the Year 3 allocation to £39,857. A detailed proposal will be brought to the August Board meeting for consideration.

E13 Financial resilience support for local communities - £64,000

This initiative was to support people with money management, an open tender process was undertaken recently but no bids were received.

The Nottinghamshire UKSPF Multiply programme, (delivered by Inspire, Futures, and West Nottinghamshire College (VWNC) in Ashfield) is delivering similar support through: Inspire - <u>Understanding money</u>, and VWNC - <u>Make your money go further</u>. Futures is looking to reshape its offer to link with budgeting and money management.

In consideration of the success of the Nottinghamshire Multiply programme in money management and to deliver the Council's <u>Education and Skills Improvement Plan 2022-2031</u> it is seen as more beneficial to increase the investment in E34 Basic Skills programme money management. This will build on the success of the Nottinghamshire UKSPF Multiply programme and provide a higher level of learning for participants.

Decision 2: the board is asked to consider and approve the reallocation of £64,000 from E13 Financial resilience support for local communities to E34 Basic Skills programme.

E13 Community Vertical Farming initiative - total allocation £105,350

This is a community project to establish a vertical farming facility, delivered by Nottingham Trent University (NTU). This will promote healthier food choices and sustainable buying as well as a means for residents to engage with innovative food production technology, driving interest in science, sustainability and health, and a connection to nature. Potentially to be based at Kings Mill Reservoir and co-funded by Mansfield District Council - the site is located on the boundary of the two districts. NTU is recognised as being at the forefront of vertical farming technology at its Brackenhurst campus.

The project has not been able to progress until Mansfield District Council was able to confirm funding. Planning permission will be required for the facility and the project will not be operational until the autumn. The revenue commitment for this year is therefore reduced and there has been a slight reduction in the capital costs as shown in the table below.

		2023/24		2024/25	
	Capital	Revenue	Capital	Revenue	Total
Allocation	65,000	20,175	0	20,175	105,350
	£85,1	175	£20,1	175	
Revised					
allocation	60,540	11,004	0	20,175	91,719
	£71,5	544	£20,1	175	
Reduction	4,460	9,171	0	0	13,631
	£13,6	531	£0		

The cost reduction creates an opportunity to re-allocate £13,631. It is proposed that £10,000 be reallocated to the Arts Council bid and £3,631 retained for a contingency until the project is up and running.

Decision 3: the board is asked to consider and approve the revised Year 2 allocation from £85,175 to £71,544 and to consider and approve the reallocation of £10,000 to the Arts Council bid.

E16 ADMC pre-opening support - total allocation £150,000

The Automated Distribution and Manufacturing Centre is Ashfield's £30m flagship Towns Fund project which will support the adoption, integration, and expansion of new automated technologies to improve productivity and competitiveness.

Due to underspend in Year 1 of £25k, these funds were carried forward to Year 2. A new programme to stimulate interest and support businesses prior to the building being operational has been developed with an estimated spend of £142,000 - £50,000 in Year 2 and £92,000 in Year 3. The programme has been developed by the project manager for the ADMC and will be delivered jointly by the project manager and a specialist consultant. The programme includes a series of events to introduce businesses to automation and connections with suppliers. It will also consider spaces available for a 'pop up ADMC' offer and developing a plan for advanced services.

Decision 4: the board is asked to consider and approve the revised allocation to a total of £142,000 for the project. £50,000 in Year 2 and to carry forward £12,000 from Year 2 to increase the Year 3 allocation from £80,000 to £92,000 and to retain £8,000 as a contingency for Year 2.

E18 Business advice and support for digital technologies - £227,000

This service is being procured through the Nottinghamshire County Council joint framework and will provide specialist advice and support to businesses to become more productive, more competitive and/or to enter new markets by adopting new (to company) technologies. Initially a total of £167,000 was allocated (£27,000 in Year 1, which is carried forward), £20,000 in Year 2 and £120,000 in Year 3. As a result of the joint procurement, we can obtain more value than originally anticipated and therefore have a surplus of £27,000. The service will also provide Workforce Development courses. These are short skills courses targeting the top five growth industries and emerging sectors which will include a skills access hub, training needs analysis and access to funded short courses. A total of £60k is allocated -£20,000 in Year 2 and £40,000 in Year 3. The Council is in negotiation with the successful bidder.

It is recommended that the surplus £27k is reallocated to the decarbonisation programme.

Decision 5: the board is asked to consider and approve reallocating E18 Business advice for digital technologies funding of £27,000 to Decarbonisation Grants in Years 2 and 3.

E24 High Pavement Incentives - £70,000

The original spend forecast was Year 2 £30,000 and year 3 £40,000. In March, the Board agreed to split the funding with £35,000 towards rental incentives for the High Pavement offices and Low Street developments and £35,000 towards a Makerspace Co-ordinator.

The two activities have been reprofiled as detailed in the table below.

E24	Year 2 23/24	Year 3 24/25	Total
Initial investment	30,000.00	40,000.00	70,000.00
High Pavement incentives	10,000.00	25,000.00	35,000.00
Makerspace Coordinator	17,000.00	18,000.00	35,000.00
Total E24 Proposed			
Allocation	27,000.00	43,000.00	70,000.00
Proposed carry forward to			
year 3	3,000.00	(3,000.00)	-

Total initial investment	30,000.00	40,000.00	70,000.00

This will require £3,000 of Year 2 spend to be carried forward into Year 3.

Decision 6: the board is asked to consider and approve the proposed Year 2 and Year 3 allocations for E24 High Pavement incentives and carrying forward £3,000 of the Makerspace Coordinator's allocation from Year 2 into Year 3.

E19 Business advice and support- Improving productivity and innovation - £140,000

This service is being procured through the Nottinghamshire County Council joint framework. It is focused on providing specialist advice for established businesses and start-ups to improve productivity and innovation. This programme offers fully funded support to SMEs to improve their productivity and market competitiveness. Year 2 allocation is £20,000 and Year 3 is £120.000.

E28 Trading overseas programme - £108,000

This service is being procured through the Nottinghamshire County Council joint framework. Offering support to SMEs to identify new markets, the opportunity to attend overseas trade shows, finding trade missions and meeting buyers and suppliers. Year 2 allocation is £20,000 and Year 3 is £88.000.

E29 Decarbonisation - £120,000.

This service is being procured through the Nottinghamshire County Council joint framework. It offers specialist advice for established businesses and start-ups on decarbonisation. The current allocation is £90,000 for support (£20,000 in Year 2 and Year 3 £70,000) and £30,000 for Grants available in Year 3.

Decarbonisation Grants of £30k are only available in Year 3 and it is seen that the surplus allocation in E18 Business advice and support for digital technologies would be useful to be re-allocated to Decarbonisation Grants as follows: Year 2 - £15,000 and Year 3 - £12,000. This provides participating businesses with Decarbonisation plans from the programme the opportunity to accelerate their identified investments with the availability of match funding in both programme years.

3.3.2 People and Skills

E33 Effective Sign posting Year 3 £40,000

As part of developing the people and skill's E33 support package for the economically inactive it was seen as important to have a programme that would help signposting potential service users.

Currently E33 services are being procured through the Nottinghamshire County Council collaboration framework. To be consistent with partners it is recommended that the £40,000

identified in year 3 for this element be included in E33 Support package. This will not change the overall outputs and outcomes.

Decision 7: the board is asked to consider and approve reallocating the total funding for Year 3 £40,000 to E33 Support package for the economically inactive.

End of report.



Agrica	
	tom /

1 A	B Risk Marris	C	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	М	N	0	P	Q	R
3					Date: Version	End of June 2023 Rev 1											
4	Almoret definite PS																
E Risk No			Probability (P)	Impact (I)	Risk Rank	Programme Impact	Mitigation if Risk Occurs	Risk Response Threat & Opportunities	Residual Probability (RP)	Residual Impact (RI)	Residual Risk Score	Position	Responsible Person	Last Reviewed	Status	Last Update	Changes
	Fossible 23 Hardy more 22		1 - Never	1 - Negligible				ппеас & Оррогиппее	1 - Never	1 - Negligible	Score						
	Nove P1		2 - Hardly Ever 3 - Possible	2 - Minor 3 - Maior	PxI				2 - Hardly Ever 3 - Possible	2 - Minor 3 - Maior	RP x RI						
6	Nagriphia Misor	ID H Mijer Critical	4 - Probable 5 - Almost Certain	4 - Critical					4 - Probable 5 - Almost Certain	4 - Critical							
8 1	Health & Safety Risks	REACT															
	Authority H&S Policies						Ensure all H&S policy and					4				All works are aligned to H&S legislation and	
1.1	not adhered to within	Social/People	2	2	4	Reputational Damage	guidance is followed and	Avoid	2	2	4		Programme Lead	End June 2023	Active	reviewed by the Project PM. Walk about on	Nil
9	individual projects.						monitored.						Lead			the potential Civils site to ensure plans for development align with exisiting activities.	
10 2	Technical Risks																
	Business Cases do not						Ensure consistent reviews of Business Case					4				All business cases have been through the	
2.1	correctly include all	Managerial /	3	3	9	Individual projects are incorrectly	Developments. QC &	Accept	2	2	4		Programme	End June 2023	Active	sub-group wand Board- ADMC Business Case	Nil
	individual project outputs	Professional				specified and submitted	Assurance checks before						Lead			was submitted to DLUHC in March 2023.	
11	оцраго				_		submission									Procurements completed and others in	Utilising frameworks where necessary
																progress. Other necessary procurements are	outsing frameworks where necessary
	External						Early identification for each									in progress	
2.2	support/consultants aren't procured at the	Managerial /	3	3		Delays to the overall programme	project the necessary external support/consultant	Reduce	2	2	4		Programme	End June 2023	Active		
1 1 2.2	correct point in project	Professional	,	,	1	through individual project delays	requirements and proactive	Reduce			7		Lead	Elia Julie 2023	Active		
	development						management										
12																	
П																Negotiatopns continue on major projects for	Nil
	Inability to complete						Early identification of						_			pieces of land. Whilst these are proving positive CPO remains a possiblity. The issue	
2.3	land assembly where	Governance	4	4	16	Change in overall programme but individual project parameters	potential risks and development of Plan 'B's	Contingency	3	4	12		Programme Lead	End June 2023	Active	has decreased with a number of the larger	
	required						where applicable					\Leftrightarrow				projects. The Deals are still incomplete,	
13												' '				hence the score remains the same.	
	Statutory approvals for					Delays or redesign of overall	Project Plan development that clearly identifies										
2.4	programme outputs are	Legal	3	3	9	programme deliverables and	statutory approvals. Seek	Reduce	2	3	6	\Leftrightarrow	Programme	End June 2023	Active	Projects which require planning permission	Nil
	delayed or not achieved					individual project outputs	pre-planning and other					` ′	Lead			are at various stages of development.	
14					_		advice where possible										
							Ensure that the										
	Business Cases are not					Delays with the Business Case	procurement for the Business Case Consultant					\Leftrightarrow				The assurance process details this and acts as a check process. A good relationship	
2.5	developed to the necessary Green Book	Governance	3	3	9	being approved/adopted resulting in delays to the overall	clearly identifies the	Contingency	2	2	4		Programme Lead	End June 2023	Active	exists with DLUHC representative so items	Nil
	Standard Standard					programme including cost	requirement for Green Book						Lead			can be flagged early if necessary. ADMC FBC	
						, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Standard and adherence to other Client requirements									has been submitted to DLUHC	
15	Financial risks																
16 3							Development of detailed									ADC has approved processes in place.	Nil
	Brexit effect on supply chain -					Negative impact on programme	Cost Plans and									Partners who are delivering projects activly	
3.1	Labour/Materials price	Financial	4	2	8	affordability due to increased	implementation of	Accept	4	2	8		Project Leads	End June 2023	Active	manage these issues and adapt as much as possible.	
17	increase/fluctuation					costs	Programme/Project Gateway Reviews									possible.	
П	Covid-19 effect on						Development of detailed									ADC has approvide processes in place.	Nil
3.2	supply chain - cost	Financial	3	3	9	Negative impact on programme	Cost Plans and	Accest	3	2			Droinet !!	End lune 2022	Action	Partners who are delivering projects activly	
3.2	increase in	rmanciai	3	,	,	affordability due to increased costs	implementation of Programme/Project	Accept	3	,	9		Project Leads	End June 2023	Active	manage COVID impacts, if and when they occur. The risk is reducing.	
18	labour/materials.						Gateway Reviews					_				~	
	Inflation effect on					Negative impact on programme	Development of detailed Cost Plans and									Being managed at a project level. Constantly in review.	Nil
3.3	supply chain- suply of	Financial	3	3	9	Negative impact on programme affordability due to increased	implementation of	Accept	3	3	9		Programme	End June 2023	Active	The state of the s	
	materials					costs	Programme/Project						Lead				
19							Gateway Reviews									ADC approved process in place	Nil
	Cost of borrowing					Negative impact on programme	Sensitivity Analysis in assumptions that allows for									And approved process in place	1881
3.4	increases against	Financial	3	3	9	affordability due to increased	flex in borrowing costs	Accept	3	3	9		Programme	End June 2023	Active		
	assumptions in Business Cases					debt repayments	without breaching financial						Lead				
20							parameters										
							Development of detailed Cost Plans and									The programme undergoes regular scrutiny.	
	Individual project costs					language on collection offer 1 1 100	implementation of						D			Whilst cost pressures are being experienced	Formal Approval for Towns Fund PAR has
3.5	increase above agreed	Financial	3	3	9	Impact on collective affordability of the programme	Programme/Project	Reduce	2	3	6		Programme Lead	End June 2023	Active	by some complex projects, in some cases	been received. Yet to receive the formal approval for the changes in Future High
	parameters					2 p. 05. uninc	Gateway Reviews. Potential for re-allocation									they are being actively reduced and/ or balanced by the programme as a whole.	Street programme
21							of project funding.									balanced by the programme as a whole.	
												•				1	i.

П	A	R	c	D	F	F	G	н			к		М	N	0	Р	0	R R
22	3.6	In project cost variances at delivery phase of capital works	Financial	3	3	9	Impact on individual project affordability once delivering	Development of detailed Cost Plans and implementation of Programme/Project Gateway Reviews. Potential for re-allocation of project funding.	Reduce	2	3	6	←	Project Leads	End June 2023	Active	Each project cost plan being scrutinised and options for cost reduction or other viability considerations investigated where necessary.	NII
23	3.7	Project delays put at risk the availability of funding	Financial	3	3	9	Impact on individual project affordability once delivering	Development of detailed Cost Plans and implementation of Programme/Project Gateway Reviews. Potential for re-allocation of project funding. Work with partners and funders	Reduce	3	2	6	1	Programme Lead	End June 2023	Active	ADC is working with partners and funders to seek a way forward to ensure that projects with delays can be delivered where possible.	Nil
24	3.8	Drawdowns of funds are not received in line with expected deadlines	Financial	3	2	6	Impact on programme cashflow forecasts negatively impacting on the wider Council cashflow position	Ensure fund drawdowns are	Avoid	2	2	4	\longleftrightarrow	Programme Lead	End June 2023	Active	ADC currently reviewing project progress against original milestones so that any slippage in cost or advanced payments can be managed within existing budget allowances	Nil
25	3.9	Partner organisation suffers catastrophic change in financial standing once in delivery	Financial	3	3	9	Impact on individual project deliverability	Continual dialogue and engagement with partner organisations to consistently gauge delivery potential	Contingency	3	3	9	\longleftrightarrow	Programme Lead	End June 2023	Active	ADC continues to monitor	Nil
26	3.10	Change in Taxation Status of a delivery partner	Financial	2	2	4	Variance to organisations financial standing limiting ability to deliver programme	As necessary conversations regarding ongoing viability and tax status	Avoid	2	2	4	\longleftrightarrow	Programme Lead	End June 2023	Active	Continue to work with partners, finance experts, to ensure opportunities maximised for the betterment of the District and the projects.	Nil
27	3.11	Change in VAT Rules/Status	Financial	2	2	4	Change in ability to recoup/offset costs	Review any HM Government Changes In Law and ensure as necessary conversations with all parties regarding VAT implications	Reduce	1	2	2	\longleftrightarrow	Programme Lead	End June 2023	Active	ADC continues to reveiw VAT status of partner organisations to understand the implications of how the project budgets may be impacted. This is a process it will continue to do.	Nii
28	3.12	Supplier organisation suffers catastrophic change in financial standing once in delivery	Financial	3	3	9	Impact on individual project deliverability	Continual dialogue and engagement with the contractor. Support the supplier to help manage their situation. Ultimately retender or identify next preferred supplier.	Contingency	3	3	9	\leftrightarrow	Programme Lead	End June 2023	Active	In constant review	Nil
29	3.13	Inability to action capital funding swaps to ensure the allocated Towns Fund funding is fully utilised in each financial year.	Financial	3	3	9	Impact on overall programme deliverability due to non- compliance with Towns Fund requirements.	Continual dialogue and engagement with partner organisations to ensure spend profiling information is continually monitored to identify project slippage in advance to ensure corrective action can be taken so that spend requirements are met. Continual monitoring of the need for capital swaps in the context of the overall ADC capital programme and continual dialogue with DLUHC regarding underspend and inability to swap.	Contingency	3	3	9	\leftrightarrow	Programme Lead	End June 2023	Active	PARs submitted	All PARs submitted. Towns Deal agreed. Awaiting response from DLUHC for Future High Streets's adjustments.
30		Legal risks																
31	4.1	Submissions to DLUHC are not completed in correct timescales	Governance	1	1	1	Impacts on the overall programme delivery of the Towns Fund	Ensure clear Project Plans are in place to ensure that submissions are available and have been QC'd in good time	Reduce	1	1	1	\	Programme Lead	End June 2023	Active	24th apr and 26 Apr respectively. Developing a Dashboard for ease of decision making for DA Board	Future High Street was revelwed by DA Board on 31 May 2023 by way of a Dash Board. The report was submitted to DUHC on 8 June 2023, signed by Section 151 Officer and Chair of the DA Board.
32	4.2	Necessary sub-funding agreements with partner agencies aren't correctly in place	Governance	1	1	1	The Council is placed at risk of delivering a programme where necessary legal agreement aren't in place ensuring correct use of the Towns Fund monies	Develop 'Boiler Plate' ready documents for partner agencies in advance of the risk proximity	Reduce	1	1	1	\longleftrightarrow	Programme Lead	End June 2023	Active	Standard form of agreement circulated to each of the partners. Partner individual elements/changes are resulting in each agreement being different, thus creating a delay in agreements being signed and funds transferred. ADC legal team reviewing thoroughly and working closely with Programme team to manage time frames. Standard GFA is in place and is aligned to Subsidy control reveiw.	Three GFAs are in place. One draft GFAs is being prepared for signing. One GFA needs to align with a potential lease agreement before being completed. The Council is also developing a standard Partnering agreements where it is undertaking works on behalf of a partner. Three projects will be subject to partnering agreements.

Δ.	В С	n	-		Г	н	1		v		М	N		D	1 0	р 1
H ^		U		t	U			,	_		M	IN		P	Fortnightly meetings in place between	Nil
4.3	Failure to secure land where acquisition is required for project delivery	2	2	4	Failure to secure expected land parcels impacts on both programme and cost parameters	to cost and programme	Accept	2	2	4	\longleftrightarrow	Programme Lead	End June 2023	Active	Assets and the TF Programme Lead. Legal Colleagues to be included to support when land purchases are imminent	
4.4	Contracts with suppliers/contractors are not correctly in place	1	1	1	Reduced or zero legal remedy for The Council should poor performance be observed	Ensure that sub contracts with suppliers/contractors are based on industry standard forms with legal support	Reduce	1	1	1	\longleftrightarrow	Programme Lead	End June 2023	Active	are clear in terms of inputs and outputs and what frameworks/forms of contract are used	Nil
4.5	Planning judicial review of any of the programme outputs at the applicable time	2	2	4	Delays to overall programme delivery and negative publicity	Pre-planning advice taken on all projects where applicable	Reduce	1	2	2	\longleftrightarrow	Programme Lead	End June 2023	Active		Nil
4.6	The change in legal standing of any of the partner organisations	1	1	1	Potential impact on ability to work in partnership due to change in legal standing	Continual dialogue and engagement with partner organisations to consistency gauge delivery potential	Reduce	1	1	1	\longleftrightarrow	Programme Lead	End June 2023	Active		Nil
4.7	Failure to procure land and require CPO Legal Process	2	4	8	Potential significant delays on programme and reputational damage	Develop clear property acquisition strategy for each individual project including awareness of individual implications both in relation to cost and programme	Contingency	2	4	8	\longleftrightarrow	Programme Lead	End June 2023	Active	Fortnightly meetings in place and strategy at ADC to ensure land acquisitions progress	Nil
38 5	Managerial risks															
5.1	Brexit effect on supply chain - supply of materials Managerial / Professional	3	3	9	Delay to projects, effecting the overall programme completion	Ensure procurement strategy includes for early engagement with market to assess potential impact	Reduce	2	2	4	\iff	Programme Lead	End June 2023	Active	Managed at a project level. In constant reveiw. Where possible adjustments are made.	Nil
5.2	Covid-19 effect on supply chain - Managerial / limitations on material supply/delivery delays	3	3	9	Delay to projects, effecting the overall programme completion	Ensure procurement strategy includes for early engagement with market to assess potential impact	Reduce	2	2	4		Programme Lead	End June 2023	Active	reveiw. Where possible adjustments are made.	Nil
5.3	Inflation effect on supply chain- suply of materials Managerial / Professional	3	3	9	Delay to projects, effecting the overall programme completion	Ensure procurement strategy includes for early engagement with market to assess potential impact	Reduce	2	3	6	\longleftrightarrow	Programme Lead	End June 2023	Active	reveiw. Where possible adjustments are made.	Nil
5.4	Discover Ashfield Board & Sub Group are in- effective as oversight committee	1	1	1	Ineffective overall governance structure and management of programme	Ensure effective Terms of Reference are in place along with strong leadership inc regular meetings with necessary outputs	Accept	1	1	1	\longleftrightarrow	Programme Lead	End June 2023	Active	Acitively Managed	Nil
5.5	Change in Programme Managerial / Lead at The Council Professional	3	2	6	Temporary impact on overall programme delivery including potential missed deadlines	Ensure that processes, procedures and governance structures are recorded and documented to assist with potential change in Programme Lead	Contingency	3	2	6	\longleftrightarrow	Programme Lead	End June 2023	Active	Programme Management team in place not sitting with one individual so risk is spread.	Nil
5.6	Development of Business Cases is not completed within programme and cost parameters	3	2	6	Delays to the programme commencing including secondary impacts on finance and legal risk categories	Ensure that the Business Case Consultants are contracted to deliver outputs in good time to enable the Council to absorb any reviews by Discover Ashfield Board	Reduce	2	2	4	\iff	Programme Lead	End June 2023	Active	ADC to conclude the appointment of Business Case Consultants but detail all agreed. All are concluded with only one to be returned	Nii
5.7	In house Council delivery teams are reduced in effectiveness due to other deliverables	3	3	9	Loss of momentum on programme and individual projects.	Ensure visibility of the Towns Fund Programme including the applicable resource plan required to oversee the programme	Contingency	2	2	4	\longleftrightarrow	Programme Lead	End June 2023	Active	As more projects move into the delivery phase this creates more momentum.	Nil
5.8	Consultants perform poorly against Managerial / stated/contracted Professional outputs	2	2	4	Failure to deliver key programme outputs across various projects	Ensure clear Performance Requirements are stated within the tender and contract documents. Ensure regular performance reviews.	Contingency	2	2	4	\longleftrightarrow	Programme Lead	End June 2023	Active	time/outputs pressures across programme level delivery	Nil
5.9	Failure to engage with wider internal Council stakeholders to gain buy in and support to Towns Fund	2	2	4	Failure to comply with the Constitution or achieving the benefits of wider stakeholder skill sets and resource	Ensure internal stakeholder review group is implemented, meets regularly with meaningful agendas and clear Actions & Minutes	Contingency	2	2	4	\longleftrightarrow	Programme Lead	End June 2023	Active	Regular meetings with key internal stakeholders	Nii

The property below The pro									г				1					
Section of Control o	^	В		U			U	н					M	, N	U	<u> </u>	Regular meetings with partner organisations	Nil
Configuration profiles Particular Section Se	5.10'	regarding partner organisations and to		3	2	6	and conditions & failure to	structures are in place including clarity over	Contingency	3	2	6	\iff		End June 2023	Active		
Share-desiry (Section of Desire of Section of Continue) (Continue)	49	local level to political stakeholders or policies over the life of the programme	Political	3	2	6	projects resulting in delays to	communication and engagement with stakeholders in relation to projects, outputs and	Contingency	3	2	6	\iff		End June 2023	Active	Watching brief	Nil
See Service William Projects 4. Augustion to find the region of the county of the cou	50 6	Publicity & Promotion																
Seguing proxylocated and proxylocated an	6.1	Slavery Act 2015) - association with any manufactures/compani es in countries,	Political	2	2	4	organisations face if exposed as having slavery within their supply	appointment processes include clear PASS/FAIL	Contingency	2	2	4	\longleftrightarrow		End June 2023	Active		Nil
Failure of perfects to following receipt of projects following receipt of following receipt o	6.2	regional press/social media relating to	Customer/Citizen	3	3	9	to major capital works	Communications Strategy is agreed and signed off by	Contingency	2	3	6	\longleftrightarrow		End June 2023	Active	to the DA Group. Engagement Group to be re-engaged to commence programme level	Nil
Failure to device for communications of strategy for coveral formation of the county of the coverage of control of the coverage of coverage coverag	6.3	deliver projects following receipt of	Customer/Citizen	2	2	4	programme and partners	Work proactively with partner agencies and Engagement Group to ensure projects are delivered effectively and in the same methodology as	Contingency	2	2	4	\Rightarrow		End June 2023	Active	Grant Agreements address this and close working with partners to be able to deliver.	Nii
Failure to gain recognition for the Council, Discovery Active Contingency and a store linked to investment and project selection for the Council of Discovery Active Contingency and an advanced and also monitors or advanced and an advanced and also monitors or advanced and an advanced and also monitors or advanced and an advanced and also monitors or advanced and an advanced and also monitors or advanced and also monitors o	6.4	Communications Strategy for overall	Customer/Citizen	2	2	4	mitigate negatives of overall	Ensure communications stakeholders are engaged to	Contingency	1	2	2	\longleftrightarrow		End June 2023	Active		Nil
Failure to deliver on key social following projects fail to deliver the Council's Social Value Outputs Customer/Citizen Abhiled Failure to deliver on key social following projects fail to deliver the Council in the Were vision for Abhiled Customer/Citizen Customer/Citizen The categories of fak to prompt identification and to help to Identify the cause / source of risks are: The categories of fak to prompt identification and to help to Identify the cause / source of risks are: The categories of fak to prompt identification and to help to Identify the cause / source of risks are: The categories of fak to prompt identification and to help to Identify the cause / source of risks are: The categories of fak to prompt identification and to help to Identify the cause / source of risks are: Threats The categories of fak to prompt identification and to help to Identify the cause / source of risks are: Threats The categories of fak to prompt identification and to help to Identify the cause / source of risks are: Threats Threat	6.5	recognition for the Council, Discover Ashfield Board and DLUHC for the levels of investment in the	re to gain tion for the I, Discover Board and Customer/Citizen Customer/Citizen Customer in the Customer in the				Failure to generate positive stories linked to investment and project deliverables	strategy both at programme and individually at project level identify the Towns Fund and also monies or	Contingency	2	2	4	\longleftrightarrow		End June 2023	Active	Covered in Communication Plan	Nii
The categories of risk to prompt identification and to help to identify the cause / source of risks are: Threats Contractual/Supplier Governance Physical Customer/Citizen Legal Political Economic Legislative/Regulatory Proment/Competitive Economic Legislative/Regulatory Proment/Competitive Environmental Managorial/Professional Social/People Environmental Managorial/Professional Social/People Financial Purtnership Technological Actions is service plans Changes in processes Action is service plans Changes in processes Description Threats Actions is service plans Changes in processes Action is service plans in processes Description Threats Action is review and the risk is avoided e.g. change in strategy Action is service plans to reduce either the likelihood of the risk to transferred to a 3" party Action is nervice plans Changes in processes Description Threats Threats Novid Thre risk is avoided e.g. change in strategy Action is taken to reduce either the likelihood of the risk to transferred to a 3" party Action is service plans to reduce either the likelihood of the risk to transferred to a 3" party Action is service plans to reduce either the likelihood of the risk to transferred to a 3" party Action is service plans to reduce either the likelihood of the risk to transferred to a 3" party Action is service plans to reduce either the likelihood of the risk to transferred to a 4" party Action is taken to increase the likelihood of the risk is transferred to a 5" party Description of the positive reduced the risk is transferred to a 5" party Action is taken to increase the likelihood of the risk is transferred to a 5" party Action is taken to increase the likelihood of the risk is transferred to a 5" party Description of the positive reduced the risk is transferred to a 5" party Description of the risk is transferred to a 5" party Action is taken to increase the likelihood of the portunity is the party contributed to a portunity occurring of the positive reduced the risk is transferred t	6.6	Individual projects fail to deliver the Council's	Customer/Citizen	2	3	6	and economic outcomes for the Council in the wider vision for	lead is aware of the Social Value Portal and that procurement strategies	Contingency	2	2	4	\longleftrightarrow		End June 2023	Active	Value Portal and Social Value Engine and the detail is included in procurement paperwork Work is being undertaken to demonstrate and implement these benefits practically in	
The categories of risk to prompt identification and to help to identify the cause / source of risks are: Contractual/Supplier	58																	
Contractual/Supplier Governance Physical Finance Legislative/Regulatory Procurement/Competitive Counter/Citizen Legislative/Regulatory Procurement/Competitive Reduce Action is taken to reduce either the likelihood of the risk cocurring or the impact that it will have Action is taken to reduce either the likelihood of the risk cocurring or the impact that it will have Action is taken to reduce either the likelihood of the risk cocurring or the impact that it will have Action is taken to reduce either the likelihood of the risk cocurring or the impact that it will have Action is taken to reduce either the likelihood of the risk cocurring or the impact that it will have Action is taken to reduce either the likelihood of the risk cocurring or the impact that it will have Action is taken to reduce either the likelihood of the risk cocurring or the impact that it will have Action is taken to reduce either the likelihood of the risk cocurring or the impact that it will have Action is taken to reduce either the likelihood of the risk cocurring or the impact that it will have Action is taken to reduce either the likelihood of the risk cocurring or the risk may be accepted perhaps because there is a low impact or likelihood Action is taken to reduce either the likelihood of the risk cocurring or likelihood Action is taken and the change of the risk cocurring or the risk may be accepted perhaps because there is a low impact or likelihood Action is taken and reduce the likelihood of the risk cocurring or the risk may be accepted perhaps because there is a low impact or likelihood Action is taken and reduce the likelihood of the risk cocurring or likelihood Action is taken and reduce and reduce the likelihood of the risk cocurring or likelihood Action is taken and reduce and reduce the likelihood of the risk cocurring or likelihood Action is taken and reduce the likelihood of the risk is transferred to a proportinelihood Action is taken and reduce the likelihood Acti	59						Dist. sees sees	Description										
Customer/Citizen Economic Legislative/Regulatory Procurement/Competitive Environmental Managerial/Professional Social/People Financial Partnership Technological Confinency Action is taken to reduce either the likelihood of the risk occurring or the impact that it will have Partnership Financial Partnership Technological Confinency Action is service plans Changes in processes Finance Fina	60	The categories of risk	k to prompt identification	and to help to id	dentify the cause	e / source	of risks are: Threats											
CustomerCitizen LegislativeRegulatory Procurement/Competitive Environmental Managerial/Professional Social/People Financial Partnership Technological Action is taken to reduce either the likelihood of the risk occurring or the impact that it will have Partnership Financial Partnership Ti Decision-making reports Finance Fina	62	Contractual/Supplier	Governance		Physical			The risk is avoided	e.g. change in strategy									
Economic Legislative/Regulatory Procurement/Competitive Scale People Environmental Managerial/Professional Social/People Financial Partnership Technological Accept The risk may be accepted perhaps because there is a low impact or likelihood Contingency A plan is put in place to respond if the risk is realised Opportunities Accept The risk may be accepted perhaps because there is a low impact or likelihood Contingency A plan is put in place to respond if the risk is realised Opportunities Share An opportunity is shared with a partner or supplier to maximise the benefits e.g. through use of shared resource/Technology Health and safety risks Partnership working Policy changes Project management process e.g. new business case Ephance Ehance Action is alwa to increase the likelihood of the opportunity occurring or the positive impact to risken and the chance to gain from the opportunity is entered to poportunities such as new partnerships, new capital investment Action is taken in increase the likelihood of the opportunity occurring or the positive impact to use than easy partnerships, new capital investment Reject No action is taken in control plans may be put in place should the opportunities, change of governmental e.g., new transport links, change of government bringing positive changes in policy/opportunities.	64									ne risk occurring)r							
See Partnership Technological Share Partnership Technological Technological Technological Technological Technological Technological Technologica	65					mpetitive		the impact that it w	vill have									
Opportunities Actions in service plans Changes in processes Finance Decision-making reports Finance F	67			essional			Accept	The risk may be as	ccepted perhaps because there	is a low impact of	or							
Actions in service plans Changes in processes Decision-making reports Finance Health and safety risks Partnership working Policy changes Project management process e.g. new business case Finance Finance Exploit A project could be adjusted e.g. to take advantage of a change in technology or a new market technology or a new market Policy changes Project management process e.g. new business case Finance Finance Exploit A project could be adjusted e.g. to take advantage of a change in technology or a new market To the positive impact it could have. e.g. Strategic/commercial opportunities such as new partnerships, new capital investment I positive impact it could have. e.g. Strategic/commercial opportunities such as new partnerships, new capital investment I positive in accordance with our risk approver. Reject No action is taken on renvironmental e.g., new transport links, change of government bringing positive changes in policy/opportunities.	68	Financial	Partnership		rechnological		Contingency	A plan is put in pla	ce to respond if the risk is reali	sed								
Decision-making reports Health and safety risks Partnership working Policy changes Project management process e.g. new business case Project management process e.g. new business case Enhance Action is taken to increase the likelihood of the opportunity occurring or the positive impact it could have. e.g. Strategic/commercial opportunities such as new partnerships, new capital investment Reject No action is taken and the chance to gain from the opportunity is rejected. Confingency plans may be put in place should the describes the type of action Risk rating Risk rating Risk rating Risk rating Risk rating action regulared	70	+					Opportunities											
Exploit A project could be adjusted e.g. to take advantage of a change in technology or a new market Policy changes Project management process e.g. new business case Enhance Action is taken to increase the likelihood of the opportunity occurring or the positive impact it could have. e.g. Strategic/commercial opportunities such as new partnerships, new capital investment Reject No action is taken and the chance to gain from the opportunity is rejected. Contingency plans may be put in place should the opportunity is rejected. Contingency plans may be put in place should the opportunities such as new partnerships, new capital investment opportunity is rejected. Contingency plans may be put in place should the opportunity is rejected. Contingency plans may be put in place should the opportunity course. Political or environmental e.g., new transport links, change of overnmental e.g. new transport links, change of change in policy/opportunities	71			cesses			Snare	An opportunity is s benefits e.g. through	mared with a partner or supplie gh use of shared resource/fech	r to maximise the nology								
Policy changes Project management process e.g. new business case Enhance Action is taken to increase the likelihood of the opportunity occurring or the positive impact it could have, e.g. Strategic/commercial opportunities such as new partnerships, new capital investment Reject No action is taken and the chance to gain from the opportunity of the opportunity of the opportunities such as new partnerships, new capital investment Reject No action is taken and the chance to gain from the opportunity of the opportunities such as new partnerships, new capital investment of the opportunity of the opportunities such as new partnerships, new capital investment of the opportunity of the opportunities such as new partnerships, new capital investment of the opportunities such as new partnerships, new capital investment of the opportunities such as new partnerships, new capital investment of the opportunities such as new partnerships, new capital investment of the opportunities such as new partnerships, new capital investment of the opportunities such as new partnerships, new capital investment of the opportunities such as new partnerships, new capital investment of the opportunities such as new partnerships, new capital investment of the opportunities such as new partnership	72			rking			Exploit	A project could be	adjusted e.g. to take advantag	e of a change in								
or the positive impact it could have. e.g. Strategic/commercial opportunities such as new partnerships, new capital investment opportunities or new partnerships, new capital investment opportunities or new partnerships on the opportunities of the opportunities or new partnerships on the opportunities or new partnerships or	74				a new husinees	case	Enhance	technology or a ne	w market	portunity occurri	, — —							
opportunities such as new partnerships, new capital investment Reject No action is taken and the chance to gain from the opportunity is rejected. Contingency plans may be put in place should the opportunity is rejected. Contingency plans may be put in place should the opportunity is rejected. Contingency plans may be put in place should the opportunity is rejected. Contingency plans may be put in place should the opportunity occur. Political or environmental e.g. new transport links, change of government bringing positive changes in policy/opportunities Risk rating Risk rating action required	75	. oney changes	Jose manage				Lillance	or the positive imp	act it could have. e.g. Strategic	/commercial	.a							
Tejected. Contingency plans may be put in place should the opportunity occur. Political or environmental e.g. new transport links, change of government bringing positive changes in policy/opportunities Risk rating Risk rating action regulated Risk rating action regulated	77				+			opportunities such	as new partnerships, new cap	ital investment	\dashv							
in light with the Risk Appetite Framework which describes the type of action opportunity occur Political or environmental e.g., new transport links, change of government bringing positive changes in policylopportunities	78						Reject											
Else	Aligned v	vith the Risk Appetite Fran	mework which describe	es the type of	action			opportunity occur	Political or environmental e.g.	new transport lin	ks,			1				
Elsis rating Risk rating action regulared	81 equired	in accordance with our ris	sk appelite					change of governn	nent bringing positive changes	in								
Score Score	82					_		policy/opportunitie:		1				-	1			
Second Risks at this level sit all vet be tolerance of the Council and are of such magnitude that they form the Council's biggest risks. The Council is not willing to like risks at this level and action should be taken immediately to go age the risk. Corporate Risks, monitored by CLT Sore Nonitor Quarterly Monitor Quarterly Quar		ore	1						l	+								
such magnitude that they form the Council's liggest risks. The Council is not willing to ke risks at this level and action should be taken immediately to guage the risk. Corporate Risks, mornifored by CLT 15-16 These risks are within the upper limit of risk appetite. While these risks can be tolerated, control should be dentified to bring the risk down to a more manageable level where possible. 5 (High) Monitor Quarterly bunderly Culterly to Cu	85 18-	-24 Risks at this	level sit at ve the tolera	nce of the Cou	incil and are of	1 —	Prohability		Impact Score		1 —							
Signature Compared Risks, monitored by CLT	87	such magnitu	uge that they form the Co	ouncil's biggest	risks. The					1 Critical	1							
Corporate Risks, mortiflored by CLT 15-16 These risks are within the upper limit of risk appetite. While these risks can be tolerated, controls should be identified to bring the risk down to a more manageable level where possible. Corporate Risks, moriflored by CLT 5 (High) Monitor Quarterly to CLT Curroute Monitor Quarterly to CLT Curroute Monitor Quarterly to CLT These risks att on the borders of the Council's risk appetite and so while they don't pose an immediate threat, they are still risks that should remain under review. If the impact or likelihood increases Should remain under review. If the impact or likelihood increases (Significant) Quarterly to Quarterly to CLT Quarte	88	taken immer	diately to me hade the risk	ino level and ad (,	Julion Stiloulu De	I =												
These risks are within the upper limit of risk appetite. While these risks can be tolerated, controls should be identified to bring the risk down to a more manageable level where possible. CLT CLT	90	Corporate F	Risks, monitored by CL	T			O (Very rilg	Quarterly Mont	hly Quarterly to	Quarterly to								
1	91 15-	-16 These risks a	are within the upper limit	of risk appetite	. While these	1 =		, ,	CLT	CLT								
Corporate Risks, monitored by CLT Quarterly to CLT Quarterly to CLT CL	92	risks can be	tolerated, controls should	d be identified t	to bring the risk	1-	5 (High)]			-				
55. 12 These risks sit on the borders of the Council's risk appetite and so while they don't pose an immediate threat, they are still risks that should remain under review. If the impact or likelihood increases should remain under review. If the impact or likelihood increases (Significant)	94							Quarterly Mont										
while they don't pose an immediate threat, they are still risks that should remain under review. If the impact or likelihood increases with the impact of the impact or likelihood increases with the impact or likelihood increases with the impact o	95 5-1	12 These risks s	sit on the borders of the	Council's risk ap	ppetite and so	\neg		Manitan M. 1										
snould remain under review. It the impact of likelihood increases	97	while they do	on't pose an immediate th	hreat, they are	still risks that		4 (Significan											
	98	should remai	in under review. If the im	pact or likelihoo	od increases		Cigillican	y Quartony World	, Worlding	OLT								

	Α		В		C		D		E	F		G		Н			1		J	K	L	М	N	C)	P	Q	R	
99 100 101				Risk only	if deemed th				orporate				3 (Low)	Monitor Quarterly	Monit		Monitor Monthly	Monitor											
102 103 104	3-4		objectives.	Due to the	sks that could relative low le ied to respond	evel it i	is unlikely			of _			2 (Very Lov	Monthly	Monit Quart	terly	Monitor Quarterly	Monitor Quarter	rly										
105 106 107 108	1-2		Minor level completely	risks with They are	little conseque enough of a ri but unlikely to	ence b	ut not to b	asse	ssed				1 (Almost Impossible		Monit Monti		Monitor 6 Monthly	Continu	ss uity Plan										
109 110 111 112	Impac Likelih	ct 4,		ts that have	a catastrophi ontinuity Planr			art of	the																				

This page is intentionally left blank

Agenda Item 4b

			1		Problems - 1 100 00	note to the	nodd 1911	1		T	,
	UKSPF	UKSPF Project & Intervention		Risk response	Residual Probability (RP)	Residual Impact (RI)	Residual Risk Score	Responsible person	Date	Update	Changes
					4 - Probable	1 - Negligible 2 - Minor 3 - Major 4 - Critical	RP x RI				
E1	E1	Communities and Place Hucknall Town Centre - High Street Property	Fail to attract								Online
		Improvement Fund	to take on the grant	Reduce	2	2	4	Melanie Wheelwright		Documentation complete ready to signed off. Web content is required for online portal. Joint Launch with High	applications, ensure support for applicants. 80% intervention rate majority of award budgeted for Year
E3	E3	Selston Country Park Phase 2 improvements - play area. footpaths and biodiversity	Costs escalate due to inflation	Avoid	3	2	6	Paul Crawford	01 July 2023 01 July 2023	Street Grant On capital programme- schedule procurement for July 2023-	Scheduled to finalise procurement in July 2023
E5	E5	Coxmoor Estate, Kirkby – environmental improvements	Delayed programme due to problems with the site, costs escalate due to		2	2	4			Contractor engaged- developing	Contractor is engaged to design
		to reduce crime & ASB TBC Sutton-environmental improvements	Fail to promote UKSPF	Avoid	2	2	4	Paul Crawford Antonio Taylor	01 July 2023 01 July 2023	programme Logos and branding- provided- project complete -required to monitor outputs and outcomes	programme Monitoring outputs and outcomes
		Hucknall Safer Streets initiative – CCTV/ Safe Spaces	Fail to promote	Avoid	2	2	4	Antonio Taylor	01 July 2023	Logos and branding- provided- project complete -required to monitor outputs	Monitoring outputs
E6	E6	Events and activities - increase resource/ create opportunities with local providers & groups . May not	UKSPF Fail to promote UKSPF. Underspend	Avoid	2	2	4		01 July 2023	and outcomes Reprofiling programme- delivered Coronation Event, planning Ashfield Day and Selston event. Putting in contingency for potential Arts Council	and outcomes Budget carried forward to 2023/24. Refining plan and budget requirements. Aim for a more refined plan to be presented to DA Board in August
		spend all the budget. Visitor economy - Consultancy & officer role for visitor	budget for Year 2 Unable to deliver within the time frame- insufficient resource to deal with the programme. Underspend budget	Avoid	2	2	4	Andrea Stone	01 July 2023	Bid Paper written, developing an approach	Developing plan and refining
		economy/tourism, arts & culture	for Year 2. Unable to deliver programme-	Reduce	2	2	4	Sarah Daniel	01 July 2023	based on this to expand offer	budget
E9	_E9	Kings Mill Ranger/ activities	Fail to attract participants.	Avoid	2	2	4	Paul Crawford	01 July 2023	To be delivered in Year 3 Looking to expand plan with the	Plan refinement with potential
E10	E10	Green Social prescribing, community growing / allotments / nurserv	Insufficient budget to deliver programme.	Avoid				Andrea Stone	01 July 2023	potential of bringing forward funding from Year 3 to Year 2	larger spend in Year 2 Plan refinement
		Cycling and Walking for All- cycle training and access to refurbished bikes Financial resilience support for local communities	participants. Insufficient budget to deliver programme. Unable to attract bidders to deliver the	Avoid Reduce	2	1	2	Andrea Stone Nathan McNicholas/	01 July 2023	Plan is exceeding expectation. Refining plan with the possibility to accelerate bringing in additional communities	with potential larger spend in Year 2
E13	E13		programme		6	3	18	Melanie Wheelwright	01 July 2023	Procurement process has been undertaken. End passed and unable to attract any bidders. County Council who delivers Multiply advise that their Financial resilience programme has been over subscribed. Recommended to add this funding to E34 basic skills and procure a programme that builds on the multiply programme.	There is no bidder interest. Recommend to move budget to Basic Skills but deliver improved outputs and outcomes.
2.0	L10								01 0diy 2020	Whilst there is commitment in Ashfield	Continue to work
E15	E15	Community Vertical Farming initiative Digital support for local communities	Delayed due to differing requirements of partner Unable to attract	Avoid Reduce	3	2	6	Sarah Daniel Nathan	01 July 2023	to deliver the programme, requirements from MDC have altered. Alming to gain clarity with MDC on their needs and work with delivery partner.	with MDC and NTU. Refining project and budget. Result of
			bidders to deliver the programme		3	2	6	McNicholas/ Melanie Wheelwright	01 July 2023	ADC is currently procuring this and the result will be known in July 2023.	procurement will be known in July 2023
E16	E16	Supporting Local Business Enterorise crant for town centre proceedies and	Fail to attract potential businesses to take on the grant	Reduce	2	2	4	Melanie Wheelwright		Meeting with ADC Web content in Juneato to plan upload of agreed documentation. Almina for a launch	omme applications, ensure support for applicants. 75% intervention rate - up to a £6k grant. The majority of award budgeted for Year 3. There is already interest and may need to increase or bring
		relocation/expansion Student programme for design/manufacture of property fronts	Fail to deliver plan	Reduce	3	2	6	Melanie Wheelwright	01 July 2023 01 July 2023	with Hucknall Grant by 31 July 2023. Although this is a Y3 investment, the agreed criteria for the Town Centre enterprise grant will influence how this project will be implemented. Officers work closely with the College to develop this project and provide a proposal to the DA Board.	forward Year 3 The criteria for the Enterprise grant provides the outline for the Student
		Online Trading Opportunities: develop online directory, media students to offer support services	Fail to deliver plan, unable to find the best product, - Costs increase with inflation	Reduce	3	2	6	Trevor Middleton	01 July 2023	Developing a potential online approach. Still in development. Budget is 28k	Currently looking at options.
		Preopening support - ADMC	Fail to deliver the plan, Unable to spend the Year 2 budget	Reduce	3	2	6	Sarah Daniel	01 July 2023	Developing a plan to support businesses who have interest n Automation and manufacturing as a precursor to the physical delivery of the ADMC.	Developing a plan and refining the required budget.
E18	E18	Business advice and support - Adopting digital technologies	Fail to deliver the	Avoid	2	2	4	Paul Stoppard		Details of the NCC Supporting Business Framework are being refined subject to the letting of the Famework. Council contractual processes will be implemented once the framework and programme with the successful bidder	Conclusion of the letting the NCC framework and contractual requirements. Working with the successful bidder to ensure we will get the services outlined in the Ashfield
	E18	Unallocated	plan Funding Withdrawal	Avoid	2	2	4	Melanie Wheelwright	01 July 2023 01 July 2023	is concluded. Proposal to reallocate underspend as a result of the NCC Supporting Business Framework.	Investment Plan Further proposal for allocation as part of the Year 2 review.
		Workforce development courses - short skills courses, targeting lop 5 industries and emerging sectors, includes skills access hub, training needs analysis	Fail to deliver the plan	Avoid Avoid	2	2	4	Paul Stoppard Paul Stoppard	01 July 2023	Details of the NCC Supporting Business Framework are being refined subject to the letting of the framework. Council contractual processes will be implemented once the framework and programme with the successful bidder is concluided.	Conclusion of the letting the NCC framework and contractual requirements. Working with the successful bidder to ensure we will get the services outlined in the Ashfield Investment Plan Conclusion of the letting the NCC
E19 E23	E19 E23	Business advice and support - Improving productivity & Innovation Specialist events / talks for businesses - expand	plan Fail to deliver events	Avoid	2	2	4	Paul Stoppard	01 July 2023	Details of the NCC Supporting Business Framework are being refined subject to the letting of the framework. Council contractual processes will be implemented once the framework and programme with the successful bidder is concluded.	framework and contractual requirements. Working with the successful bidder to ensure we will get the services outlined in the Ashfield Investment Plan
		existing programme	or attract businesses due to insufficient resource		3	2	6		01 July 2023	Develop programme that aligns with local business needs.	Seek support to deliver e.g. an external contract with a third party to deliver, additional internal resource
E24	E24	High Pavement Business Hub and Low Street- rental incentives	Fail to deliver the plan	Avoid	3	2	6	Matthew Kirk Paul Crawford	01 July 2023	Develop a specification for support Develop a specification for Makerspace	Nil
	E24	Maker space coordination	Unable to recruit	Avoid	3	2	6	, au Clawofd	01 July 2023	Develop a specification for Makerspace support person. Work with partners to deliver	Work with partners to deliver

E28	E28	Trading Overseas programme - support SMEs to identify new markets, attend overseas trade shows		Avoid				Paul Stoppard			Conclusion of the letting the NCC framework and contractual requirements.
					2	2	4			Details of the NCC Supporting Business Framework are being refined subject to the letting of the framework. Council contractual processes will be implemented once the framework and programme with the successful bidder	Working with the successful bidder to ensure we will get the services outlined in the Ashfield
			Fail to deliver plan	Avoid				Paul Stoppard	01 July 2023	is concluded.	Investment Plan Conclusion of the
E29	E29	Business advice and support - decarbonisation, with grant	Fail to deliver plan		2	2	4		01 July 2023	Details of the NCC Supporting Business Framework are being refined subject to the letting of the framework. Council contractual processes will be implemented once the framework and programme with the successful bidder is concluded.	letting the NCC
			,	Avoid							
	F23	General business support through ADC team, need marketing hudget	Unable to recruit,		3	2	6	Melanie Wheelwright	01 July 2023	Preparing to recruit for an October 2023 start- Need to reallocate Year 2 budget of £8510 to Year 3 to cover the full year payment of graduate of £33.510.50 - This includes oncosts.	Proposal agreed- recruitment for October 2023 start date. Require to move Year 2 underspend into Year 3.
F33	F33	marketing budget People and Skills		Avoid				Nathan			
ESS	E33	Support package for economically inactive incl. confidence building, customer service, social prescribing, coaching/ mentoring	Fail to deliver plan, No signposting in	Avoid	2	2	4	McNicholas/ Melanie Wheelwright		NCC joint commissioned framework. Currently being procured. Will be reviewing in late June -early July 2023. Look to find additional funding to support sing posting the programme in	
			Year 2	Avoid				Nathan	01 July 2023	Year 2	In process
								McNicholas/ Melanie Wheelwright			Noted that other participants in the joint procurement have not ring fenced Year 3
		Effective stakeholder engagement (signposting and referrals)	Fail to deliver plan, insufficient funding,		2	2	4		01 July 2023	NCC joint commissioned framework. Currently being procured. Will be reviewing in late June-early July 2023. Require to start in 2023-24 to align with the delivery of the Support package for the economically inactive. Seek to move related underspends to support this.	stakeholder engagement but wish to have this. Recommended
E34	E34			Avoid	2	2	4	Nathan McNicholas/ Melanie Wheelwright			Due to inability to procure E13 Financial resilience and a similar product is being offered by the County who is delivering UKSPF Multiply recommend to move investment into Basic life skills to increase the level of qualification in the District which is lacking. This slights we the
		Basic Skills Courses - English/ESOL & Maths, IT & Life Skills (inc gardening, cooking, baking)	Fail to deliver plan						01 July 2023	ADC is procuring this independently. Will be reviewing in July 2023.	Council's Skills Policy.
		Education and Business Collaboration package - Grow Discover Ashfield Ambassador programme, Open Doors programmes (for students & teachers) - hybrid model, School talks, Work experience programme (including Health & Safety Checks), Careers Service in	Fail to deliver plan.	Avoid	2	2	4	Nathan McNicholas/ Melanie Wheelwright		ADC will procure this independently for a Year 3 start up. Aim to complete by	ADC to self procure. Aim to complete by
E37		schools	Cost increases						01 July 2023	January 2024 at the latest.	January 2024
E38	E38	Talent attraction and retention package - Graduate talent match programme with Notts. & Derby's. universities	Fail to deliver plan. Cost increases	Avoid	2	2	4	Nathan McNicholas/ Melanie	01 July 2023	Part of the NCC framework agreement. Aim to complete by January 2024	Aim to complete by January 2024
		Digital training at Level 2 for employed & unemployed Inc Microsoft Office / Azure / Structured Query Language (SQL) / C# / Java / Adobe Photoshop / Coding / Python	Fail to deliver plan. Cost increases	Avoid	2	2	4	Nathan McNicholas/ Melanie Wheelwright	01 July 2023	Part of the NCC framework agreement	Aim to complete by January 2024
		Local Careers Service – targeting residents in employment – preparing them to move into priority sectors Training/Careers Hub – businesses/Ambassadors engaging with hub	Fail to deliver plan.	Avoid	2	2	4	Nathan McNicholas/ Melanie Wheelwright	01 July 2023	ADC will procure this independently for a Year 3 start up. Aim to complete by January 2024 at the latest	Aim to complete